1

IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES, AT MUMBAI

Coram : R. S. Salgaonkar

Judge, C.R.No.11 Date : 24/1/2020.

R.A.E. Suit No. 2000 of 2014

Order regarding admissibility of documents filed by the Plaintiff.

Heard advocates for the parties on proof of documents. Perused the evidence affidavit.

The document at Sr.No.1 is the certified true copy of the extract of the Resolution dated 19th June, 2008. It has received formal proof. It is admitted in evidence and marked as *Exh.21*.

The document at Sr.No.2 is the defendants letter addressed to plaintiffs dated 01/11/2014. It has received formal proof. It is admitted in evidence and marked as *Exh.22*.

The document at Sr.No.3 is the office copy of plaintiffs letter addressed to defendants dated 17th November, 2014 with acknowledgment receipt of registered post. It has received formal proof. It is admitted in evidence and marked as *Exh.23 collectively*.

It is expressly made clear that the exhibiting of the documents does not dispense with the burden of proof to be discharged for proving the contents of the documents. Whether the contents of documents are proved and what is the effect of the document in appreciation of evidence is matter kept open for argument at appropriate stage.

The documents which are not admitted in evidence be returned forthwith to the party producing the same as per Order 13

/home/steno11/Desktop/Court11/Adm-order/Jan-2020/RAE-2000-2014.odt

Rule 7(2) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

[R.S.Salgaonkar] Judge, Court of Small Causes, Mumbai. Date: 24/1/2020. [Court Room No.11].