1

CNR NO.- MHSCA2002373-2022

IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES, AT MUMBAI ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT - 18

IN

R.A.E Suit No.1274 of 2022

1. Mr. Vijay Anant Nagwekar & Ors.

..Plaintiffs

V/s.

1. Mr. Satyapal Dase & Ors.

..Defendants

Appearance:

Mr. Saurabh J. Dhuri, Ld. Advocate for the plaintiffs Mr. D. J. Walavalkar, Ld. Advocate for the defendants

Coram: R.R.Kale

Judge, Court Room No.14,

Date: 09.08.2023

ORDER:-

Present suit is filed for eviction and for recovery of possession.

- 2. Present application is filed by the defendant No.1 for condonation of delay in filing written statement and permit him to file it on record.
- 3. The defendant No.1 has submitted in his application that, the delay has been caused in filing the written statement within statutory period and it may kindly be condoned on account of reasons stated in the application.
- 4. On the contrary, the plaintiffs have filed their reply at Exhibit No. 20 and have strongly opposed the present application. The plaintiffs in brief in their reply submitted that, the reasons stated in the

/home/steno14/Desktop/ujjwala/09.09.2023/Ex-18-RAE-1274-2022-09.08.2023-cond dely-RRK.odt

2

application are vague and not valid. Hence, application be rejected. Contending all these grounds, the plaintiffs have prayed for rejection of the application.

5. From the contents of the application and say filed thereon, following points arose for my determination and I record my findings thereon as under: -

Sr.No.	Points	Findings
1.	Whether the application is liable to allowed?	Yes.
2.	What order?	Application is allowed on cost.

REASONS

As to Point Nos. 1 and 2:

- 6. Heard respective Ld. advocates / Ld. holding advocates on behalf of both the parties / parties at length. The present suit is filed for eviction and for recovery of possession on various grounds.
- After perusal of application and say filed by the plaintiffs it seems that, the delay has been caused for filing written statement within statutory period by above defendant. The reasons assigned for not filing written statement within statutory period as per Code of Civil Procedure do not appear prima facie to be satisfactory. However, to decide real controversy between the parties and considering right of above defendant to take defence, it would be just and proper to allow this application and permit him to file his written statement on record. At the same time, some cost needs to be imposed upon the above defendant. Therefore in view of above all discussion, I answer point no.

/home/steno14/Desktop/ujjwala/09.09.2023/Ex-18-RAE-1274-2022-09.08.2023-cond dely-RRK.odt

3

1 in affirmative and in answer to point no. 2, the following order will meet the ends of justice:

ORDER

- 1. Application vide Exhibit No.-18 stands allowed subject to cost of Rs.300/- to be given to the plaintiffs on or before next date.
- 2. The delay caused for filing written statement on record is hereby condoned and the defendant No.1 is permitted to file his written statement on record.

[R.R.Kale] Judge, C.R. No. 14.

Date: 09.08.2023.