1

IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES AT MUMBAI ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT –29

IN

R.A.E. & R. SUIT NO. 690/1101 of 2013

1) Cowasjee Dinshaw Trust Public Trust & Ors.

...Plaintiffs

V/s.

1)Under Secretary Govt. of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Defendants

Shri. J.P. Thakkar

:Advocate for plaintiffs.

Shri. D.J. Walavalkar

:Advocate for defendant No.2 & 3.

Coram : R.S.Rote,

Judge, C. R. No. 6

Date: 06.11.2017

ORDER:

- 1. The plaintiffs have filed application for permission to produce circular dated 04.06.2001.
- 2. Perused application and say.
- 3. Heard learned advocate for plaintiffs and learned advocate for defendants.
- 4. It is submitted on behalf plaintiffs that plaintiffs have produced circular dated 04.06.2001 alongwith documents list. Plaintiffs have produced photocopy. Now plaintiffs want to produce certified copy of the circular. Therefore, plaintiffs may be allowed to produce the documents.

/home/steno6/Documents/RSR/2017/Nov 17/1101-2013-R-R - Exh, 29-06.11.2017- .odt

2

- 5. It is submitted on behalf of defendants that they submit to the order of the court.
- 6. I have gone through the record, it appears that the plaintiffs have produced photocopy of circular dated 04.06.2001 alongwith documents of list. Now plaintiffs want to produce certified copy of the circular. Considering the record, it appears that the plaintiffs have produced photocopy of the document. Now the plaintiffs want to produce certified copy, therefore it will be proper to allow the plaintiffs to produce the document. Hence I **pass following order.**

ORDER

Plaintiffs are allowed to produce certified copy of circular dated 04.06.2001.

sd/-

Mumbai.

Date: 06.11.2017

[R.S.Rote] Judge, C.R. No.6 06.11.2017

Dictated on :06.11.2017 Transcribed on :07.11.2017 Checked & signed on :07.11.2017