

Sunita Bhausaheb Chavhan

v/s

Chief Officer, Osmanabad

ORDER BELOW EXH. C-21

(Date - 24-07-2025)

01. Perused application. By present application the Advocate for respondent no.1 to 3 is praying for permission to lead additional evidence for the purpose of exhibiting the documents which came to be filed after examination of witness no.1 of said respondents. According to him, the documents sought to be exhibited are important and hence, he prayed that, application be granted.

02) Instant application has been strongly objected. The Advocate for complainant submitted that, necessary order be passed.

03) Heard both sides and perused the record. It appears that, the Ld. Advocate for respondent no.1 to 3 has already examined a witness in defence. Thereafter, some documents came to be filed on record by respondent no.1 to 3. According to respondent no.1 and 2, the documents are necessary for proper adjudication of the complaint.

04) If, permission is granted as prayed, no prejudice will be caused to the other side. The documents sought to be exhibited by way of additional evidence came to be filed on record after the witness no.1 of respondent no.1 to 3 was examined. The documents sought to be exhibited and relied upon by respondent no.1 to 3 are necessary for proper adjudication of the complaint. The evidence of respondent no.1 to 3 is yet not over. No justified grounds raised by the complainant to reject the application. Hence, in view of the above

reasons, it is justified to allow this application. I, therefore, pass the following order.

### **ORDER**

1. Application is allowed.
2. Permission is granted to adduce further evidence, as prayed.
3. No order as to cost.

Date :- 24/07/2025

Place :- Latur

( Rahul B. Bhagwat )  
Member,  
Industrial Court, Latur.