OS No. 106/2017
P.W.2

IN THE COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, NIPANI

Name of witness	:	Shameem Banu K. Patil.
Age	:	47 years.
Occupation	:	Senior Scientific Officer, RFSL, Belagavi.
Residence	:	Belagavi.

Witness duly sworn on 11.03.2024.

I have submitted report along with original documents produced by the court original cheque, original service register, original attendance book along with forwarding letter and test reports.

Chief examination by: MVK advocate for Plaintiff.

My impression upon the documents which are tested are written by one person. The admitted signatures marked as S.1 to S.23 wrote the questioned signatures marked as Ex. D.1. Witness identified the report which is marked as Ex. P.22.

Cross examination by: VRN advocate for Defendant.

I am graduated M.Sc. (Ph.D). It is true that, till M.Sc there is no subject about the handwritings. Witness volunteers that because of my M.Sc graduation government has selected me as handwriting expert. I get 3 months basic training for expertization of handwriting in NICFS New Delhi and worked under the senior officers. It is false to suggest that, I am not

the handwriting expert. It is false to suggest that, in Ex. P.7 the signature is not consistent. It is false to suggest that, in the open eye it seems that signature in Ex. P.7 is not consistent. It is false to suggest that, there is a abnormality in the form of signature in Ex. P.7. It is false to suggest that, I am not deliberately mentioned with regard to the abnormality of signature in Ex. P.7. It is false to suggest that, the pattern of signature in Ex. P.7 is not the individual person. It is false to suggest that, the signature in Ex. P.7 is divergent fashion.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed on_computer to my dictation in the open Court)

R O E & A C

Sr.Civil Judge & JMFC,
Nipani