

IN THE COURT OF V ADDL. DIST & SESSIONS JUDGE. **BELAGVI AT BELAGAVI.**

S.C.No.260/2020

Name : Parashuram Ganapatrao Pise

Father's Name : Ganapatrao Pise

: 37 years Age

Occupation

Resident : Plot No.31, S.K.Nagar, Talikot,

> Mudebihal, Dist: Vijayapur. Now at H.No.123, Athawadkar Layout, Vijayanagar, Belagavi.

EXAMINATION OF THE ACCUSED - PARASHURAM GANAPATRAO PISE UNDER SECTION 313 OF CR.P.C.

Q.No.1: Have you heard the evidence adduced by the prosecution so far?

Ans: Yes

ABOUT THE INCIDENT

Miss.Ananya Parashuram Pise who Q.No.2: CW-6 examined as PW-12 has stated that her mother died when she was in UKG. By then, herself, her younger sister Akruti, her mother (the deceased Kavita) and her father/you the accused were residing together. What to you say?

Ans: Yes

Q.No.3: CW-6 has further stated that when her mother died, she was in the home. By then, nobody else in the house. They were in the 1st floor of the house and in the ground floor, the owner aunty was residing. What to you say?

Ans: Yes

Q.No.4: CW-6 has further stated that on that day, she didn't go to school. She was in the house. Akruti was with her. Her father **you** and her mother were in the house. There was quarrel between her mother and her father/**you** in respect of looking after Akruti and in the said quarrel, her father/**you** assaulted her mother over the neck with hands. What to you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.5: CW-7 Smt.Deepali Sunil Rangadal who is examined as PW-6 has stated that on 13.02.2020, around 7:00 p.m., **you** called her over phone and intimated that her daughter Kavita/**your** wife (the deceased) took some tablets but, since she could not hear **you** properly, she gave the phone to her younger son CW-9 Sri.Harish Sunil Rangadal who after having conversation with **you**, told her that her daughter Kavita took some tablets and her limbs were cold/frozen. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.6: CW-7 has further stated that thereafter, her son CW-9 called her husband CW1 and they were conversing as to, what was the reason for Kavita to take any tablets and her

husband/CW-1 was repeatedly calling and telling **you** to admit her daughter to the hospital. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.7: CW-7 has further stated that immediately, herself, her husband CW-1 her children - CWs-8 and 9, her daughter in law i.e., the wife of CW-8 Ashwini/CW-10, her brother in law CW-13 Sri.Dattatreya Dondiba Rangadal and his daughter in law CW-12/Smt.Sandhya Ashwin Rangdal hired a Tavera, left Gulbarga at 9:00 p.m. and reached Belgaum at 7:00 a.m. What do you say?

Ans: Yes

Q.No.8: CW-7 has further stated that they directly went to the government hospital, Balagavi since **you** intimated them that **you** had admitted their daughter to civil hospital but, **you** were not in the hospital. What do you say?

Ans: Yes

Q.No.9: CW-7 has further stated that when they enquired, the hospital people told that the body of her daughter was in the mortuary. So, they went into mortuary and saw the body. None were in the mortuary. There was swelling over neck of the deceased and it was black in colour. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.10: CW-7 has further stated that after half an hour, **you** along with **your** younger brother and **your** aunt came to

the hospital and they enquired **you**; and **you** told that her daughter took some tablets. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.11: CW-7 has further stated that the police who came to the hospital took them and **you** and **your** relatives to the police station, where **you** have accepted that **you** squeezed the neck of her daughter. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.12: CW-7 has further stated that thereafter, they returned to the hospital and in the hospital, her husband enquired **your** elder daughter i.e., Ananya Pise/CW-6 as to, what was happened and she told that she was about to go to the school and by then, her father/**you** were attempting to hold her sister who was 6 months old baby. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.13: CW-7 has further stated that Ananya told that she was making hurry to go to the school and by then, her parents were quarreling to hold the child (her sister Akruti) and in the quarrel, her father/**you** squeezed the neck of her mother. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.14: CW-7 has further stated that her husband CW-1 gave the complaint; and after PM examination, the body was handed over to them. CW-6 and her sister Miss.Akruti are

now in their care and custody. The police enquired her and recorded her statement. What do you say?

Ans: Yes CW-1 lodged the complaint. Yes was handed over to CW-1 and the children in their custody.

Q.No.15: CW-8 Sri.Srikant Sunil Rangadal who is examined as PW-7 has stated that since, both the children are daughters, the quarrel was started. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.16: CW-8 has further stated that on 13.02.2020, around 8:00 pm, he had been to market. By then, his younger brother CW-9 Sri.Harish Sunil Rangadal called him over phone and told that Smt.Kavita/the deceased took some tablets and died i.e., committed suicide. He asked him/CW-9 to wait for a while as he could not hear him properly. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.17: CW-8 has further stated that when he came to the house, his father was already in the home and he called **you** and had talk. Thereafter, his father told that **you** intimated that his sister/**your** wife took tablets and her limbs became cold/frozen. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.18: CW-8 has further stated that therefore, himself, his parents, his brother and relatives left Gulbarga around 8:30

pm to 9:00 pm in a hired vehicle and reached Civil hospital, Belagavi by 7:00 am. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.19: CW-8 has further stated that on the way, around 11:00 pm, his father called **you** over phone and **you** intimated that **you** brought his sister to the hospital and she was dead. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.20: CW-8 has further stated that in the hospital, the hospital people told that the body of his sister was in the mortuary. So, they went to the mortuary and saw the dead body. There was swelling and black mark over the neck. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.21: CW-8 has further stated that **you** were not found either in the hospital or in the mortuary. They called **you** over phone and **you** told that **you** were nearby and came a little later. They enquired **you** and **you** told that his sister took tablets. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.22: CW-8 has further stated that thereafter, they went to the police station. His father gave the complaint about the incident. He did not enquire anybody in Belagavi about the incident. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.23: CW-8 has further stated that when they came to Belagavi, **your** daughters were in the house and later, CW-6 Miss.Ananya and **you** came to the hospital around 7:30 am. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.24: CW-8 has further stated that his father brought CW-6 by the side and enquired her as to, what was happened. She told that she refused to go to school and to take care of the child by holding/carrying it. In that regard, there was quarrel between her parents i.e. **you** and **your** wife/the deceased. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.25: CW-8 has further stated that CW-6 also told that around 4:00 pm, the body of her mother was cold and when she asked, her father/**you** told that her mother took tablets and slept. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.26: CW-8 has further stated that since, there was mark over the neck along with swelling and black colour, they suspected the death of the deceased and lodged the complaint as it appeared to them that **you** quarreled with his wife and squeezed her neck. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.27: CW-9 Sri.Harish Sunil Rangadal who is examined as PW-8 has stated that on 13.02.2020, around 7:00 pm, his mother CW-7 received phone call from **you** and since, she could not hear properly, she gave the phone to him. **You** told that his sister took some tablets and her limbs were cold/frozen. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.28: CW-9 has further stated that so, he called his father CW-1 who told that he had also received call and he came to the house. All of them i.e., himself, his parents, his elder brother, his wife, his paternal uncle, his son and his daughter in law came to Belagavi. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.29: CW-9 has further stated that they left Gulbarga at 9:00 pm to 9:30 pm. On the way, around 11:30 pm, his father called **you** over phone. By then, **you** intimated that his sister was dead. They reached Belagavi around 7:00 am and directly went to the government hospital. **You** were not in the hospital. They enquired with the hospital authority who sent them to mortuary. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.30: CW-9 has further stated that they saw the body and found swelling over the neck and the neck was black coloured. Thereafter, CW-6 came there. They enquired her. She told that she did not go to school. Her parents/you and your wife were quarreling in respect of taking care of her

younger sister who was 6 months old and to hold her and in the quarrel, her father/**you** beaten her mother. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.31: CW-9 has further stated that they went to the station. His father gave the complaint. Later, **you** came to the police station accepted that **you** beaten your wife. The police enquired him and he gave statement. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.32: CW-11 Sri.Ashwin Dattatreya Rangadal who is examined as PW-9 has stated that on 13.02.2020, around 7:30 pm, CW-1 came to their house and told that **you** called him over phone and told that his sister Smt.Kavita took the tablets and lost conscious. By then, his father, his mother, his wife and himself were in the house. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.33: CW-11 has further stated that after discussions, himself, his parents, his wife, his cousins, his uncle and his aunt were all left Gulbarga around 9:00 pm in a rented Tavera car. On the way around 11:00 pm, **you** called over phone and intimated that his sister was dead. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.34: CW-11 has further stated that they directly came to government hospital, Belagavi around 7:00 am. By then, **you**

were not in the hospital. After some time, **you** came. Later, CW-6 also came. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.35: CW-11 has further stated that they saw the body, there was swelling over neck and the neck was turned into black colour. They called CW-6 by the side and enquired. She told that there was quarrel between her parents in respect of caring/looking after the child and to hold her. The quarrel went on and around 4:30 pm, her father/you pressed the neck of her mother. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.36: CW-14 Smt.Surekha W/o Sri.Pareesh Janagouda who is examined as PW-5 has stated that **your** wife Smt.Kavita/the deceased was expired in the year 2020, one day, in the evening, when the RCC work of the 2nd floor of her house was going on. Around 6:30 pm, **you** were moving here and there with tension and told that **your** wife was not waking up. What do you say?

Ans: False it was in the after noon and rest of the evidence is correct.

Q.No.37: CW-14 has further stated that hence, they went inside the house and found **your** wife sleeping on the bed. She tried to talk with **your** wife and to wake her up but, she did not. Hence, they advised **you** to take her to hospital. What do you say?

Ans: Yes

Q.No.38: CW-14 has further stated that **you** took **your** wife to the hospital and later, she heard that **your** wife died. The police came and obtained her signature. They enquired her. What do you say?

Ans: Accused again said that Yes I don't know.

Q.No.39: CW-14 in her cross examination for the prosecution has admitted that herself, her neighbours Sri.Shivappa Kadappa Shekanna/CW-18, Sri.Madan Amrut Kasabe/CW-17, Sri.Akhileshkumar Yadav/CW-15 and his wife Smt.Vandana/CW-16 went inside **your** house. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.40: CW-14 in her cross examination for the prosecution has also admitted that Smt.Kavita was shifted to Civil hospital, Belagavi in an ambulance and the doctor declared her death at 9:50 pm and she came to know from **you** that **your** wife became unconscious by taking some tablets. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.41: CW-14 in her cross examination for the prosecution has stated that she heard by the police that when the maternal home people of Smt.Kavita/the deceased came and saw the dead body in the hospital, since found black marks

of squeezing neck, they enquired their daughter Ananya. What do you say?

12

Ans: False

Q.No.42: CW-14 in her cross examination for the prosecution has also stated that she came to know from the police that when the maternal home people of the deceased enquired, her daughter Ananya told that yesterday around 1:00 pm, there was quarrel between her father/you and her mother in respect of she/Ananya going to the school and taking care of her younger sister; and at 4:30 pm, her father/you being angered, beaten and squeezed the neck of her mother, due to which, her mother fell down. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.43: CW-14 in her cross examination for the prosecution has also stated that she came to know from the police that **you** made news that **your** wife died by taking some tablets and it is **you** who squeezed her neck and murdered; and accordingly, a complaint was lodged. What do you say?

Ans: False

ABOUT MEDICAL EVIDENCE

Q.No.44: CW-30 Dr.Keshav H.B., the then medical officer, BIMS hospital, Belagavi who is examined as PW-16 has stated that he has been working as a Senior Specialist, Department of Forensic Medicine, Belagavi since 2016. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.45: CW-30 has further stated that during the said period, on 14.02.2020 at 7:30 a.m., he received request from the SHO, Camp police station in form Nos.146(i) and 146(ii) in Cr.No.11/2020 at Ex.P-34 and 35 seeking PM examination of the dead body of the deceased with a history of throttling. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.46: CW-30 has further stated that he has conducted PM examination of the said dead body on the same day in between 7:30 p.m. and 8:30 p.m. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.47: CW-30 has further stated that on examination of the dead body, the clothes found over the dead body were green colored top, red colored pant and dead body was that of a female, moderately built and rigor mortis present in lower limb in a disappearing state. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.48: CW-30 has further stated that post mortem stains present over back; face was congested; conjunctiva was congested and blush discoloration of finger nails were seen. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.49: CW-30 has further stated that the external injuries found over the dead body were; (1) contusion measuring 3 cm x ½ cm present 11 cm below the right angle of mandible with multiple small contusions surrounding it, (2) contusion measuring 2 cm x ½ cm on the left side of neck 10 cm below the left angle of mandible. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know. But it is false

Q.No.50: CW-30 has further stated that cranium and spinal canal: (1) skull and vertebrae membranes were intact; (2) brain and spinal cord: edematous multiple petechiae present in the white matter of brain. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.51: CW-30 has further stated that in thorax, (1) walls, ribs, cartilage, sternum were intact, (2) pleura and cavity were intact, (3) larynx and trachea were intact, (4) both lungs were congested and patachiae present over surface, (5) pericardium was intact, (6) heart was congested and multiple patachiae seen, (7) large vessels were patent. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.52: CW-30 has further stated that in abdomen, (1) walls and peritoneum were intact, (2) mouth, pharynx and esophagus were intact, (3) stomach and its contents were intact and empty, (4) small and large intestine and contents were intact with gas and (5) liver and spleen were congested. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.53: CW-30 has further stated that genito – urinary system – (1) both kidneys were contested, (2) bladder was intact, (3) organs of generation both external and internal were intact and (4) uterus was empty. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.54: CW-30 has further stated that on further dissection of neck, muscles of neck showed contusion on both sides and no evidence of fracture of hyoid bone or thyroid cartilage. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.55: CW-30 has further stated that nail scrapings and blood were preserved for analysis. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.56: CW-30 has further stated that in his opinion so far the cause of death is, might be due to asphyxia as a result of manual strangulation and saying the above facts, he has issued PM examination report at Ex.P-36. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.57: CW-31 Dr.Basavraj Sajjan who is examined as PW-18 has stated that he has been working as Medical Officer in BIMS, Belagavi since December-2014 till date but, because of his post graduation, he has been deputed GIMS, Gadag from November-2023. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.58: CW-31 has further stated that on 15.02.2020, around 12:25 pm, when he was in charge of Medical Officer, Casualty Department, BIMS, Belagavi, he received request at Ex.P-38 from PI, Camp police station, Belagavi for Medical Examination of **you** and ASI, Sri.B.R.Doog produced **you** before him. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.59: CW-31 has further stated that accordingly, he examined **you** and found injuries i.e., (1) linear abrasion of size 2 cms, red in colour on upper part of left front side of neck; (2) contusion of size 1cm x 0.5 cm bluish in colour on mid $1/3^{rd}$ just beside central line on front left side of neck; and (3) contusion on size 1cm x 0.5 cm bluish in color on lower $1/3^{rd}$ of left front side of neck. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.60: CW-31 has further stated that after examination, he referred **you** to surgery and ENT OPD, but **you** refused treatment and the said OPD record he maintained in the causality is Ex.P-39. Since, **you** refused treatment, he obtained **your** signature to Ex.P-39. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.61: CW-31 has further stated that on the request of the SHO, Camp Police, he issued the wound certificate at Ex.P-40 mentioning the above details. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.62: CW-31 has further stated that the injuries mentioned in both Ex.P-39 and 40 are simple in nature; the injury No.1 might have been caused by sharp and pointed object, whereas injuries Nos.2 and 3 might have been caused by blunt object; and the age of all the injuries was 1 to 2 days. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.63: CW-31 has further stated that in Ex.P-38, opinion was sought as to, whether the injuries over the neck of **you** may be caused due to scratch (ಪರಚಿದಾಗ) and the injury No.1 mentioned in Ex.P-39 and 40 may be caused due to scratch. What do you say?

Ans: False

ABOUT INVESTIGATION

Q.No.64: 32 Sri.Basappa Rayappa Doog, the then ASI who is examined as PW-17 has stated that he was working as ASI in Camp police station till 2021 and thereafter, till his retirement in 2023, he was working as PSI. What do you say?

Ans: I don't know

Q.No.65: CW-32 has further stated that on 14.02.2020, at 11:00 am, when he was in charge of SHO, Sri.Sunil Dondiba Rangadal/CW-1 came to the station and gave a written complaint at Ex.P-22. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.66: CW-32 has further stated that in the complaint at Ex.P-22, it was alleged that his son in law by name Parashuram Pise i.e., **you**, in respect of sending **your** daughter Ananya to school, picked up quarrel with his daughter Kavita/the deceased and killed her by squeezing her neck. What do you say?

18

Ans: False

Q.No.67: CW-32 has further stated that accordingly, he registered the case in Cr.No.11/2020 for the offence under Section 302 of IPC and prepared the FIR at Ex.P-37; and sent the said FIR to the jurisdictional Magistrate by the hands of the then CPC-1315 Sri.N.G.Sulebhavi/CW-20 and the copies thereof to the higher officer. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.68: CW-32 has further sated that thereafter, he visited the spot, by then, the then PI, Khade Bazar police station, came to the spot and he handed over the case file to him for further investigation. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.69: CW-33 Sri.Dheeraj Baburao Shinde the then PI, who is examined as PW-19 has stated that he had been working as PI in Khade Bazar police station since October-2018 to September-2021 and during the said period, he was

in charge of PI, Camp police station on 14.02.2020 and 15.02.2020. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.70: CW-33 has further stated that on 14.02.2020, around 11:40 am, he received phone call from the then ASI, Camp police station, Sri.B.R.Doog/CW-32, wherein he was intimated that a murder case was registered in their station Cr.No.11/2020. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.71: CW-33 has further stated that since he was on bandobast duty, after finishing his duty, he had been to the spot at 1:30 pm and in the spot, CW-32 produced the case papers before him and he verified them. What do yo say?

Ans: False

Q.No.72: CW-33 has further stated that to conduct the spot mahzar, through Camp police ASI/CW-32, he secured 2 witnesses/panchas i.e., Sri.Jyotiba Raju Naik/CW-2 and Sri.Benjmin Denial/CW-3; and issued written notice to them respectively at Ex.P-9 and 11 requesting to become panchas to the spot mahzar. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.73: CW-33 has further stated that CWs-2 and 3 agreed to become panchas and executed their consent letters in that regard at Ex.P-10 and 12. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.74: CW-33 has further stated that accordingly, in the presence of CWs-2 and 3, he conducted the spot mahzar at Ex.P-1 as the spot was shown by CW-1/Sri.Sunil Dondiba Rangdal in between 2:15 pm and 3:30 pm. He got written the spot mahzar at Ex.P-1 through WPC- 1623 Smt.Renuka D.Khadagi/CW-27. What do you say?

20

Ans: False

Q.No.75: CW-33 has further stated that at the time of the spot mahzar at Ex.P-1, he got prepared rough sketch of the spot at Ex.P-41. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.76: CW-33 has further stated that at the time of the spot mahzar at Ex.P-1, he also got captured the photo at Ex.P-2. Himself is appearing in the said photo with uniform without cap. The persons on his right, the first two are the panchas and the 3rd one is complainant/CW-1. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.77: CW-2 Sri.Jyotiba Raju Naik who is examined as PW-4 has stated that he knows CW-1 Sri.Sunil Dondiba Rangadal. CW-1 was his naighbour in Rakshak Colony, Vijayanagar, Belagavi. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.78: CW-2 has further stated that Ex.P-1 bears his signature and he put the said signature in 2022 and as he remembers, it was on 14^{th.} The camp police took him to the house of CW-1 in connection with death case of his daughter. The police inspected the spot, took the photo and thereafter, his signature at Ex.P1(b). What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.79: CW-2 has further stated that the photo at Ex.P-2 is the said photo. Himself is with honey and black colour stripes T-shirt with jeans pant. The person on his right with white full sleeves shirt and black pant is Benjamin Denial. The person on his right with white colour full sleeves shirt is CW-1. The spot appearing in the said photo is the spot where the body of the daughter of CW-1 was. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.80: CW-3 Benjamin Daniel who is examined as PW-1 has stated that he knows CW-2 Jyothiba Naik, CW-1 and **you** but, he could not recollect **your** name. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.81: CW-3 has further stated that on 14.02.2020, in Vijay Nagar Atwadkar layout, in the house of one Pise, the police conducted the mahzar in respect of murder of a woman. By then, CW-2 was with him. At the time of mahzar, the police inspected the spot and after conducting the mahzar procedure, they obtained his signature to a

v.ecourtsindia.com

document which is Ex.P-1 and his signature is Ex.P-1(a). What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.82: CW-3 has further stated that at that time, the police captured the photo at Ex.P-2. He is appearing in the said photo with CW-2 and the police as well as another person showing the spot; and he does not remember his name. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.83: CW-3 in his cross examination for the prosecution has admitted that on 14.02.2020, before writing down the mahzar at Ex.P-1, the police issued him notice at Ex.P-9 and agreeing to become pancha, he has executed the consent letter at Ex.P-10. Both Ex.P-9 and 10 bear his signature. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.84: CW-3 in his cross examination for the prosecution has also admitted that the mahzar at Ex.P-1 was written in between 2:15 p.m and 3:30 p.m. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.85: In respect of further investigation, CW-33 has stated that in the spot, he secured the witnesses i.e., Smt.Surekha Jangouda/CW-14, Sri.Akhilesh Kumar Yadav/CW-15, Smt.Vandana Akilesh Kumar Yadav/CW-16, Sri.Madan Amrut Kasabe/CW-17 and Sri.Shivappa

Kannavar/CW-18 and recorded their statements who gave their statements in support of the complaint allegations. Amongst them, CWs-17 and 18 gave statements before him as per Ex.P-23 and 24 respectively. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.86: CW-33 has further stated that thereafter, he visited mortuary, Civil Hospital, Belagavi to conduct the inquest mahzar of the dead body of the deceased Smt.Kavita Parashuram Pise. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.87: CW-33 has further stated that he secured 2 panchas through Camp police i.e., Sri.Nehamiya Ashrivadam Mattemalla/CW-4 and Smt.Savita Raju Kamble/CW-5 and issued written notices to them at Ex.P-42 and 43 respectively requesting to become panchas to the inquest mahzar. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.88: CW-33 has further stated that CWs-4 and 5 agreed to become panchas and executed their consent letters at Ex.P-44 and 45 respectively. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.89: CW-33 has further stated that accordingly, in the presence of CWs-4 and 5, he conducted the inquest mahzar of the dead body of the deceased at Ex.P-19 in between 5:00 pm and 6:00 pm. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.90: CW-33 has further stated that at the time of Ex.P-19, he got captured the photos at Ex.P-20 and 21. Himself is appearing in the photo at Ex.P-20 with uniform without cap. The lady on his right is CW-5. The person on her right with full sleeves T-Shirt is CW-4. The person on his right with white full sleeves shirt is CW-1. What do you say?

24

Ans: False

Q.No.91: CW-4 Smt.Nehamiya Asheervadam Mattmalla who is examined as PW-2 has stated that she knows CW-5. Smt.Kavita Pasashurama Pise is dead and the said Kavitha died by hanging in the bed room of **your** house at Ganeshapur and in that regard, there was inquest mahzar procedure conducted in the government hospital, Balagavi. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.92: CW-4 has further stated that by then, she saw the dead body and there was a black mark over the neck and there was swelling. The police took her signature to the mahzar at Ex.P-19. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.93: CW-4 has further stated that by then, the police got captured the photos at Ex.P-20 and 21. In Ex.P-20, herself, Savitha and the father of the deceased as well as the police are appearing. The photo at Ex.P-21 reveals the swelling and

black mark over the neck of the deceased. The police conducted the inquest mahzar on 14.02.2020. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.94: In respect of further investigation, CW-33 has stated that after the inquest, he sent the dead body for PM examination through the then CPC-1683 Sri.U.M.Thaikar/CW-21 along with form Nos.146(i) and 146(ii) at Ex.P-34 and 35. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.95: CW-33 has further stated that on return to the police station, he recorded the further statement of CW-1 wherein, he has stated that in the course of committing crime, **you** also suffered injuries over **your** neck. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.96: CW-33 has further stated that he secured the Miss.Ananya witnesses i.e., Parashuram Pise/CW-6, Rangdal/CW-7 Smt.Deepali Sunil Sri.Srikant Sunil Rangadal/CW-8, Sri.Harish Sunil Rangadal/CW-9 Smt.Ashwini Sunil Rangadal/CW-10, Sri.Ashwin Dattatray Rangadal/CW-11, Smt.Sandhya Ashwain Rangagal/CW-12 and Sri.Dattatreya Dondiba Rangadal/CW-13 and recorded their statements about the incident and they gave statements in consonance with the complaint allegations. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.97: CW-33 has further stated that on the same day, CW-21 submitted his report at Ex.P-26 along with the receipt at Ex.P-46 executed by CW-1 having received the body and belongings over the dead body of the deceased. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.98: CW-33 has further stated that to seize the properties produced by CW-21, he again secured the presence of CWs-2 and 3; issued notices at Ex.P-47 and 48 respectively to them. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.99: CW-33 has further stated that CWs-2 and 3 agreed to become panchas and executed their consent letters at Ex.P-49 and 50 respectively. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.100: CW-33 has further stated that accordingly, in the presence of CWs-2 and 3, he conducted the seizure mahzar of the properties over dead body of the deceased at Ex.P-3 and seized the said properties i.e. (1) yellow color design top, (2) red color pant and (3) brown color design plastic bangle i.e., MOs-2 to 4 in between 7:30 pm and 8:15 pm. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.101: CW-33 has further stated that at the time of seizure, he duly packed and sealed above articles and affixed the labels having signatures of himself and panchas/CWs-2 and 3. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.102: CW-33 has further stated that he mentioned the above properties to PF.No.5/2020 at Ex.P-51 and obtained permission of the learned jurisdictional Magistrate to retain the properties. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.103: CW-2 has stated that on the next day, the police called him to the station and shown the cloth of the deceased i.e., (1) yellow colour top, (2) a pant and (3) bangle. The police packed the said proprieties and took his signature. He identified the said document i.e., Ex.P-3 and his signature over it as well as the said properties at MOs-1 to 3 and his signature over the said labels. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.104: CW-3 has stated that Ex.P-3 bears his signature and when he put the said signature in the police station, there were some cloth. What to you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.105: CW-3 in his cross examination for the prosecution has admitted that when the Camp police produced the cloth over the dead body of the deceased, the mahzar at Ex.P-3

was drawn and by then, (1) yellow colour design top, (2) red colour pant and (3) brown color design plastic bangle were seized; and he identified the said clothe at MOs-2 and 3. What do you say?

28

Ans: False

Q.No.106: CW-3 in his cross examination for the prosecution has also admitted that before writing down the mahzar at Ex.P-3, the police issued him notice at Ex.P-11 and agreeing to become pancha, he has executed the consent letter at Ex.P-12. Both Ex.P-11 and 12 bear his signatures. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.107: In respect of further investigation, CW-33 has stated that thereafter, on the same day, the then CHC-2186 Sri.J.M.Magadum/CW-25 who was deputed to search and produce **you** and he brought **you** and produced before him at 09:00 p.m. with his/CW-25's report at Ex.P-52. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.108: CW-33 has further stated that he subjected **you** to the arrest procedure and on examination, **you** admitted the guilt and gave **your** voluntary statement, wherein as per Ex.P-53, **you** have stated that at the time of commission of offence, one bangle of the deceased fallen and **you** kept the said bangle in the house and if **you** were taken, **you** will show the said bangle. What do you say?

29

Ans: False

Q.No.109: CW-33 has further stated that since it was late in the night, he kept **you** in the custody with security and on the next day, on 15.02.2020, he got subjected **you** to the medical examination since in **your** voluntary statement at Ex.P-53, **you** have stated that at the time of commission of offence, **you** suffered injuries over neck due to scratch/protest of the deceased. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.110: CW-33 has further stated that Ex.P-38 is his request letter to the Medical Officer for **your** examination. On the same day, he received the report/OPD Chit of Medical Officer at Ex.P-39 in response to Ex.P-38. In Ex.P-39, the injuries suffered by **you** were mentioned. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.111: CW-33 has further stated that to seize the bangle on **your** voluntary statement, he secured the presence of CWs-2 and 3 again and issued notices at Ex.P-54 and 11 respectively to them and they agreed to become panchas and executed their consent letters at Ex.P-55 and 12 respectively. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.112: CW-33 has further stated that accordingly, himself with **you**, CWs-2, 3 and his staffs left the station and as led by **you**, they went to **your** house at Atawadkar Layout,

Belagavi, wherein, **you** took them to **your** rented house at 1st floor and have produced the bangle put over the Sajja over the window near the front door of the house. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.113: CW-33 has further stated that accordingly, he seized the said bangle at MO-1 by conducting the seizure mahzar at Ex.P-4 in the presence of CWs-2 and 3 in between 5:30 pm and 6:30 pm but, due to oversight, the timings in Ex.P-4 is written as 7:00 pm and 8:00 pm instead 5:30 pm and 6:30 pm. He got written the said mahzar through WPC-1623 Smt.Renuka D.Khadagi/CW-27. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.114: CW-33 has further stated that at the time of Ex.P-4, he got captured the photos at Ex.P-5 and 6. Himself is appearing in the said photos with uniform without cap. The person with white jacket and ash color pant is **you**. The other 2 persons on his left in the photo at Ex.P-5 are CWs-2 and 3. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.115: CW-33 has further stated that at the time of seizure of the bangle at MO-1, he duly packed and sealed it; affixed the label having signatures of himself and panchas. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.116: CW-2 has stated that on the next day, again himself, CW-3 had been to the police station wherein, the police shown **you** and from the police station, himself, CW-3, **you** and the police went to the house of CW-1 and in the same room, again the police conducted the mahzar at Ex.P-4 and **you** shown a bangle and the police seized the said bangle. Got captured the photos at Ex.P-5 and 6 and obtained his signature. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.117: CW-2 has further stated that he is appearing in the photos at Ex.P-5 and 6. Himself is on the left side of the police officer and to his left, it is CW-3 and to the left of CW-3, a police officer and thereafter, it is **you**. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.118: CW-2 has further stated that the said bangle is MO-4 and the label affixed to it bears his signature. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.119: CW-3 has sated that himself, CW-2, the police and **you** are appearing in the photos at Ex.P-5 and 6; and the said photos were captured in the spot on **you** showing the scene of crime. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.120: CW-3 in his cross examination for the prosecution has admitted that on 15.02.2020, in between 7:00 pm and

8:00 p.m., while throttling the neck of **your** wife, a bangle was fell on the cot and **you** kept the said bangle and saying that if **you** were taken, **you** will produce it and **you** led them and produce the bangle kept on the window sajja which was seized by the police by conducting the mazhar at Ex.P-4. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.121: CW-3 in his cross examination for the prosecution has admitted that before drawing the mazhar at Ex.P-4, the police issued notice at Ex.P-13 and agreeing to become pancha, he executed the consent letter at Ex.P-14 and he identified the said bangle at MO-4. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.122: In respect of further investigation, CW-33 has further stated that thereafter, he came back to the station along with **you**, his staffs and panchas CWs-2 and 3. In the station, **you** produced the cloth which were worn by **you** at the time of incident. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.123: CW-33 has further stated that accordingly, he seized the said cloth i.e., (1) ash and white color sweater, (2) white and brown stripes shirt, (3) ash color pant and (4) brown color half baniyan respectively at MOs-5 to 8 by conducting the seizure mahzar at Ex.P-7 in between 7:00 pm and 7:45 pm. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.124: CW-33 has further stated that he got computerized and took the printout of Ex.P-7 with the assistance of the then HC-1067 i.e., Sri.B.B.Goudar/CW-26. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.125: CW-33 has further stated that at the time of seizure, he wrapped the above cloth in white cloth, duly packed and sealed them, affixed the chits having signatures of himself and panchas. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.126: CW-2 has stated that on return from the house of CW-1 to the police station, **you** handed over a sweater, a shirt, a pant and a baniyan to the police. The police showed the said cloth to them, packed them and obtained their signatures. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.127: CW-2 has further stated that Ex.P-7 bears his signature and he identified his signature and the above cloth at MOs-5 to 8. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.128: CW-3 has stated that he signed Ex.P-7 in the police station and in his cross examination for the prosecution, he has admitted that on **you** producing the cloth i.e., (1) sweater, (2) white and brown colour stripes full shirt,

(3) ash colour pant and (4) brown colour half banyan, by saying that the said cloth were the cloth **you** worn at the time of incident, the police seized the same by conducting the mahzar at Ex.P-7. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.129: CW-3 in his cross examination for the prosecution has admitted that before drawing the mahzar at Ex.P-7, the police issued notice at Ex.P-15 and agreeing to become pancha, he executed the consent letter at Ex.P-16. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.130: In respect of further investigation, CW-33 has further stated that thereafter, he got produced **you** before the jurisdictional Magistrate under remand warrant and thereafter, he handed over the case file to CW-34 Sri.D.Santhoshkumar, the then PI of Camp police station and he identified **you**. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.131: CW-34 Sri.D.Santhosh Kumar, the then PI who is examined as PW-20 has deposed that he was working as PI Camp police station since 20.10.2019 to 10.01.2021. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.132: CW-34 has further stated that during the said period, on 17.02.2020, he received the case file from

Sri.D.B.Shinde/CW-33 the then PI, Khadebazar Police station who was in his in charge and perused the case file; and found the investigation till then was in order. What do you say?

35

Ans: False

Q.No.133: CW-34 has further stated that in continuation of the investigation, on 20.02.2020, he wrote a letter at Ex.P-56 to PDO, Village Panchayat, Hidalaga requesting to furnish the property register extract of **your** rented house. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.134: CW-34 has further stated that on the same day, he also wrote a letter at Ex.P-57 to the PWD i.e., CW-29 Sri.Aravind Basavant Dhamannavar i.e., the then Assistant Executive Engineer requesting to prepare and to furnish the spot sketch. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.135: CW-34 has further stated that on 06.03.2020, he received PM report at Ex.P-36 from the Medical Officer, BIMS hospital, Belagavi. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.136: CW-34 has further stated that on the same day, the then CPC-1428 Sri.S.P.Bargi/CW-22 brought and produced the nail scraping, preservative and 100 ml blood collected by the Medical Officer during PM examination and

handed over in sealed manner with his report at Ex.P-27. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.137: CW-34 has further stated that he secured the presence of panchas i.e., CWs-2 and 3 to seize the above articles produced by CW-22 and briefed them. They agreed to become panchas. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.138: CW-34 has further stated that in the presence of CWs-2 and 3, he conducted the seizure mahzar at Ex.P-8 in between 10:00 am and 10:45 am; and seized the above properties, duly packed and sealed the properties and affixed the label having signatures of himself and panchas. After reading over the recitals at Ex.P-8, he obtained the signatures of CWs-2 and 3. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.139: CW-2 has stated that after around 15 days, the police again called him and CW-3 to the station and showed them nail, blood and some other properties and packed them as well as obtained their signatures. Ex.P-8 bears his signature and he identified the said signature. What do yo say?

Ans: False

Q.No.140: CW-3 has stated that Ex.P-8 bears his signature and he signed it in the police station and in his cross

examination for the prosecution, he has admitted that on 06.03.2020, the police seized the nails, blood and preservatives collected at the time of PM examination. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.141: CW-3 has also admitted in his cross examination for the prosecution that before conducting the mahzar at Ex.P-8, the police issued notice to him at Ex.P-17 and agreeing to become pancha, he has executed the consent letter at Ex.P-18. What do yo say?

Ans: False

Q.No.142: CW-34 has further stated that he mentioned the said properties to PF.No.11/2020 at Ex.P-58 and submitted the said PF to the jurisdictional Magistrate and obtained permission to retain them for chemical examination. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.143: CW-34 has further stated that on 07.03.2020, he received property register extract of **your** rented house at Ex.P-59 from PDO, Hindlaga. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.144: CW-34 has further stated that on 17.03.2020, he sent the properties seized in the case for chemical examination through the then PC-1368 Sri.G.A.Jyoti/CW-23

38

with his covering letter, memo of instructions and model seal respectively at Ex.P-60 to 62. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.145: CW-34 has further stated that on the same day, CW-23 submitted his report at Ex.P-28 about submissions of the properties before RFSL and produced the acknowledgment at Ex.P-63. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.146: CW-34 has further stated that on 21.03.2020, he requested Sri.Prapul Harish Vitakar/CW-19, the photographer to copy the photos captured during the investigation in their station camera into CD and to furnish. Accordingly, he copied the photos into a CD at Ex.P-65 and submitted with his certificate under Section 65B of the Evidence Act at Ex.P-64. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.147: CW-34 has further stated that on 01.04.2020, he received **your** wound certificate at Ex.P-40. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.148: CW-34 has further stated that on the same day, he received the spot sketch issued by PWD at Ex.P-31. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.149: CW-29 Sri.Aravind Basavant Dhamannavar who is examined as PW-14 has stated that he has been working as Assistant Engineer, PWD Sub Division-1, Belagavi since November-2016 till date and on 25.05.2020, he received the request letter by Police Inspector, Camp Police station addressed to their Assistant Executive Engineer to draw the sketch of the crime spot in their station crime No.11/2020. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.150: CW-29 has further stated that on the oral direction of their Assistant Executive Engineer to draw sketch, he visited the spot on 05.03.2020 and drawn a rough sketch as the spot was shown by the then ASI Sri.B.R.Doog/CW-32 and on return to the office, he prepared a fair copy of the sketch at Ex.P-31 and submitted to their Assistant Executive Engineer. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.151: CW-29 has further stated that Ex.P-32 is the covering letter of Sri.Munavalli, the then Assistant Executive Engineer who forwarded the sketch at Ex.P-31 he has prepared, to the then Police Inspector. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.152: In respect of further investigation, CW-34 has further stated that on 07.04.2020, he wrote a letter at Ex.P-30 to the bank wherein, **you** were working to issue

information about the **your** duty hours and attendance to the duty. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.153: CW-34 has further stated that on 19.04.2020, in response to Ex.P-30, the branch manager issued certificate at Ex.P-29. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.154: CW-28 Smt.Shilpa M., the then Assistant Manger and in charge Branch Manger, Syndicate Bank, Samadevi Galli, Belagavi who is examined as PW-13 has stated that she was working as Assistant Manager, Syndicated Bank, Samadevi Galli Branch, from August-2016 to August-2020. The Syndicate Bank merged with Canara Bank in the year 2020. What do you say?

Ans: False. Yes she is the Manager of my branch

Q.No.155: CW-28 has further stated that she knows **you** and **your** were working as clerk in their Bank. Ex.P-29 is the attendance certificate issued by her as in-charge branch manager on 09.04.2020 on the request of Police Inspector, Camp police station, Belagavi at Ex.P-30. What do you say?

Ans: False. Yes she gave attendance certificate

Q.No.156: CW-28 has further stated that in Ex.P-29, it is certified that on 13.02.2020, **you** attended **your** duties at 10:00 am. and around 12:40 pm, **you** requested her and sought permission to go home as **your** wife was not feeling

well. Accordingly, she permitted **you**, but **you** did not return to the duty on that day. What do you say?

Ans: Yes

Q.No.157: CW-34 has further stated that since by then, the investigation was over and there were prima facie material against **you** for the offence under Section 302 IPC, keeping in abeyance the FSL report, he prepared the charge sheet and submitted. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.158: So far the investigation assistance, CW-24 Sri.Adrush Basappa Gattad who is examined as PW-15 has stated that he was working as PC-1319 in Camp police station since 2017 to 2023 and during the above period, on 14.02.2020, the then in charge PI Sri.D.B.Shinde/CW-33 asked him to accompany him/CW-33 for the investigation and on his direction, he carried station camera with him. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.159: CW-24 has further deposed that CW-33 took him to a house at Atawadkar Layout. In the said house, CW-33 conducted the mahazar. By then, on his direction, he captured a photo at Ex.P-2 in the station camera. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.160: CW-24 has further stated that on the same day, he also accompanied CW-33 to the mortuary, Civil Hospital, Belagavi. There, CW-33 conducted the inquest mahzar. By then, also he captured 2 photos at Ex.P-20 and 21 on the direction of CW-33. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.161: CW-24 has further stated that thereafter, on 15.02.2020, **you** led CW-33 to **your** house at Atadwadkar lay out, where he captured the photo at Ex.P-2 and by then also, CW-33 directed him to accompany him with the station camera. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.162: CW-24 has further stated that in the said house, you produced a bangle. CW-33 seized the bangle and conducted the mahzar. By then, on the direction of CW-33, he captured 2 photos at Ex.P-5 and 6. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.163: CW-24 has further stated that thereafter, on 17.02.2020, he got the printouts of the said photos in Varma Photo Studio and produced the printouts i.e. the photos at Ex.P-2, 5, 6, 20 and 21 before the then PI Sri.Santosh Kumar/CW-34 with his report at Ex.P-33. What do you say?

Ans: False

Q.No.164: Have you any documents to produce?

43

Ans: No

Q.No.165: Have you any evidence to lead?

Ans: No. But I filed written statement

(Certified that the above said accused statement has been read over in the language known to the accused and recorded the answer as it is stated by him and the same is true and correct.)

Dated: This the 17th day of January, 2025.

Sd/-

(SMT.K.KATHYAYANI),

V Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Belagavi.