

**C.C. No.58775/22**  
**PW.1**

**Witness present and duly sworn on 14.2.2025**  
**Further cross of PW1 by Sri.AR Counsel for accused**

I have studied 10<sup>th</sup> Std., I am a housewife. The accused is my brother. I am married and I have two children. My marriage is a love marriage. It is true to say that my parents and relatives did not attend my marriage. It is true to say that I have no contact with my relatives after my marriage. It is true to say that I had no relation with my brothers and parents after my marriage. It is true to say that as such my father divided his property among my brothers and did not give me any share. It is true to say that when my father was alive there was no communication between me and my father. It is false to say that I did not attend my father's funeral after his death. I had filed the partition suit before the death of my father. It is true to say that the said suit dismissed before the lower court. It is true to say that I have preferred appeal against the said judgment before the Hon'ble HCK.

I have not given any hand loan to the accused. If it is suggested that is there any condition that the accused had to pay Rs.50lakh in the confirmation deed executed by me I would say that I do not know about it since I do not know reading and they prepared it. I did not get execute any agreement with my brother to receive Rs.50lakh for execution of the confirmation deed or to be consenting witness for the sale deed. Witness volunteers that but it was orally told. If it is suggested that Rs.3lakh was given to

me to execute the said document and to be a consenting witness for the sale deed in love and affection. It is false to say that the accused at that time had also told me that there is due from the purchaser and that he would pay Rs.2lakh further to me after receiving the amount due.

If it is suggested that do I know Chandrashekar and Lokesh I would say that I do not know Chandrashekar but Lokesh was present while signing the document. If it is suggested that I was aware that the accused had availed loan with said Lokesh I would say that I do not know it but I was aware that the accused had availed loan with someother. I do not know that said Lokesh is a broker and he acts as a mediator for purchase of a property between the parties. It is false to say that said Lokesh approached me and called me to execute the confirmation deed and sale deed.

**At this juncture counsel for accused prays time. Adjourned for further cross examination in the ends of justice to next date of hearing.**

(Typed to my dictation in the Open Court)

**R.O.A.I.C.**

**XXXIII ACJM, BENGALURU.**