

Witness duly Sworn On 16-06-2022.

**Examination in chief by Sri.APS Advocate for defendant No.4 to 15.**

I have today filed my affidavit and I have signed the same and the contents of the same are true and correct and same may be considered as my examination in chief. I have produced following documents.

SI. No.	Exhibit Number	Description of document
1.	Ex.D1	Certified copy of GPA dt.13.04.2000. I say that the original of the document is in sun city apartment owners association and in view of this explanation the certified copy is permitted to be marked.
2.	Ex.D2	Certified copy of GPA dt.17.02.1999. I say that the original of the document is in sun city apartment owners association and in view of this explanation the certified copy is permitted to be marked.
3.	Ex.D3	Certified copy of GPA dt.17.04.2000. I say that the original of the document is in sun city apartment owners association and in view of this explanation the certified copy is permitted to be marked.
4.	Ex.D4	Certified copy of GPA dt.17.02.1999. I say that the original of the document is in sun city apartment owners association and in view of this explanation the certified copy is permitted to be marked.

Further chief examination deferred at request.

Typed my Dictation in Open Court.

R.O.I.&A.C.

O.S.No.15741/2006

DW2

XIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL JUDGE  
Mayo Hall Unit, Bangalore.

Witness duly Sworn On 01-07-2022.

**Further Examination in chief by Sri.APS Advocate for defendant**  
**No.4 to 15.**

I have today produced the following documents.

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of document</b>
Ex.D5	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D4 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D6	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D5 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D7	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D6 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D8	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D7 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D9	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D8 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff)

	that original should be produced)
Ex.D10	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D9 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D11	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D10 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D12	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D11 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D13	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D12 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D14	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D13 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D15	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D14 (witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D16	Certified copy of sale deed dt.14.05.1998 in favour of D15

	(witness says the original of the sale deed is with apartment association and therefore certified copy is allowed to be got marked subject to objection of learned counsel for Plaintiff that original should be produced)
Ex.D17	Original declaration by G Sathyanarayana reddy ( subject to objection of counsel for Plaintiff that it is not registered document)
Ex.D18	Original declaration by G Santhosh Reddy( subject to objection of counsel for Plaintiff that it is not registered document)
Ex.D19	Sanction plan
Ex.D20	Photographs ( 21 nos) along with CD (mark subject to objection that 65 B certificate is not produced)
Ex.D21	Sanction plan
Ex.D22	Printed material given by developer (this document is marked subject to objection that it has no authentication)

Further chief examination deferred at request.

Typed my Dictation in Open Court.

R.O.I.&A.C.

XIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL JUDGE

Mayo Hall Unit, Bangalore.