<u>IN THE COURT OF THE XXX ADDL.CITY CIVIL</u> <u>JUDGE: BANGALORE. C.C.C.H.31.</u>

O.S.NO.4721/2010

PLAINTIFF: Smt.Lourdamma.

V/S

DEFENDANTS: Sri.Anthonyraj & others.

ISSUES

- 1. Whether plaintiff proves that the suit schedule property a joint family property?
- 2. Whether she further proves that she is entitled for $1/5^{th}$ share in the suit schedule property?
- 3. Whether she further proves that the sale deed dated:18/01/2005 and 14/03/2006 are not binding upon her?
- 4. Whether she further proves the alleged interference of defendant No. 10 to 13?
- 5. Whether suit is barred by time?
- 6. Whether suit is not maintainable during pendency of OS.26954/2009 on the file of CCH-29?
- 7. What order or decree? Dated:27/02/2015.

(S.H.KORADDI) XXX Addl. City Civil Judge: BANGALORE.

ADDITIONAL ISSUES

- 1. Whether the defendant No.40 proves that he is a bonafide purchaser of a portion suit schedule property?
- 2. Whether he further proves that the suit is hit by principles of res-judicata and also barred under provisions of Order 2 Rule 2 of CPC?
- 3. Whether the suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties?
- 4. Whether the valuation made by the plaintiff is proper and Court fee paid is sufficient?

Bengalure.
Date:03/01/2019

(MAANU K.S)

XXX ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS

JUDGE , BANGALORE.