

**CS-554-2024**

**Mahabir Vs. Nagar Palika**

**Present:** Proxy counsel Ms. Reena for Sh. Ram Singh Dahiya,  
Advocate for plaintiff.  
Proxy counsel Ms. Deepa for Sh. Deepak Hooda, Advocate  
for defendant.

Perusal of the case file reveals it has come to the notice of the undersigned that inadvertently case was fixed for filing reply under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC rather it should have been fixed for arguments on application under Order 7 rule 11. This mistake stands rectified accordingly.

Arguments on application under Order 7 Rule 11 read with Section 151 of CPC for rejection of the plaint not advanced. Adjournment sought. Heard and allowed. Now to come up on for **25.09.2024** for arguments on above-mentioned application.

(Akanksha Saini)  
CJ(JD), Sonipat,  
UID No. HR0628

Date of Order: 09.09.2024  
Santosh