CS-554-2024

Mahabir Vs. Nagar Palika

Present:

Sh. Ram Singh Dahiya, Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. Deepak Hooda, Advocate for defendant.

Today the case was fixed for filing reply to application under Order 7 Rule 11 read with Section 151 of CPC for rejection of the plaint. Reply not filed. At this stage, learned counsel for plaintiff has vehemently pressed the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 read with Section 151 CPC. The plaintiff is seeking the grant ad interim injunction restraining the defendants from dispossessing the plaintiffs from the suit property and from interfering with the possession of the plaintiff till the final decision of the suit.

At this stage, the plaintiffs are claiming the relief of injunction on the basis of possession and not ownership, the only relief which can be granted at this stage would have been that the defendant should have adopted due course of law for dispossessing the plaintiffs. Perusal of the file shows that it is admitted fact the plaintiff that an application is filed under public premises act in regard to the suit property which shows that the defendants are already proceeding in the due course of law. At this stage, this court is not inclined to pass any ad interim order in favour of the plaintiff.

Now to come up on for **06.07.2024** filing reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.

> (Akanksha Saini) CJ(JD), Sonepat, UID No. HR0628

Date of Order: 29.05.2024

Pooja