CNR No.HRKR01-007117-2022

MACP/348/2022

Lalita etc. Vs Sunil etc

Present:

Shri Deepak Seth, counsel for the Claimants.

Shri Ankush Sharma, counsel for respondent no.1. Shri Rohit Gupta, counsel for respondent no.3.

Respondent no.2 ex-parte.

No PW is present.

Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 3 did not press his application under Order 7 Rule 11 read with Section 151 CPC and Section 166 (3) CPC of MC Act dt. 09.01.2024 at this stage. Hence, the application stands disposed off accordingly.

Perusal of the file reveals that respondent no.3 Insurance Company moved an application dated 09.01.2024 seeking permission to defend the present claim petition u/s 170 of M.V.Act on all the grounds otherwise available to respondent no.1 & 2. Heard. **Keeping in view the contents mentioned in the application, the same stands allowed.**

Another application for directing the respondents no.1 & 2 to production of documents i.e. relating to alleged offending vehicle if any or in the alternative struck off the name of the answering respondent Insurance Com. from the array of the respondent be also pending adjudication.

Now, the case is adjourned to **25.04.2024** for evidence of claimants as well as filing reply to the above-mentioned application.

28.02.2024

(Anil Kumar) Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Karnal,(UID No.HR0495)

Typed by : Sonal, Stenographer Gr.II