

**08.11.2024.**

**Present:** Ms. Nivedita, Ld. vice Advocate to Sh. Anup Verma, Ld. Advocate for applicant.

Sh. Rakesh Verma, Ld. Advocate for respondents No.1, 4 to 7.

**Respondent No.2 dead**, LRs exparte vide order dated 3.06.2024.

Name of respondent No.3 already deleted.

Perusal of the application shows that reply already filed of the original application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC, but thereafter, when application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC of the plaintiff/applicant stood allowed by the Court vide order dated 13.06.2024, then question arose about considering the amended application as stood already filed under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel on behalf of respondent that the mistakes were clerical in nature and therefore, reply/written statement already on record was adopted as per order dated 17.09.2024. Therefore, no new/amended reply sought to be filed and reply already on record is prayed to be read as amended reply to amended application. Thus, be now listed for filing of rejoinder/consideration for **27.11.2024**.

**(Chunauti Sagroli)**  
**Civil Judge, Kandaghat**  
**District Solan, H.P.**