1 State Versus Ishan Ali NDPS case No. 32 of 2023

PW1 Statement of Tej Pratap, aged about 71 years, son of late Sh. Vishabar Singh, resident of village Katlak, Post Office Dalhousie.

On oath 10.06.2025

Stated that I am running Neelam Studio near Bus Stand Dalhousie since last 40 years. On 29.10.2022, police official namely Pawan handed over to me his mobile phone with a request to develop the photographs contained in it pertaining to inventory proceedings. Accordingly, I developed the said photographs **Ex.P1/PW1** to **Ex.P7/PW1** and handed over the same to the police alongwith certificate **Ex.P8/PW1** under Section 65B of IEA, which bears my signatures.

XXXXXX cross examination by Shri Navneet Puri and Ved Parkash learned counsel for all the accused persons XXXXX

I do not maintain register pertaining to work done by me in my shop. It is correct that the photograph Ex.P1/PW1 to Ex.P7/PW1 do not bear my stamp. It is correct that for every payment received against the work done, bill is issued. Self-stated that I have given bill for the work done by me pertaining to this case. I have not seen any such bill in the court file today. It is incorrect that I have issued a false certificate at the convenience of the IO.

RO&AC

Special Judge, Chamba

State Versus Ishan Ali NDPS case No. 32 of 2023

Statement of Sh. Anil Sharma, learned PP for the State.

Without oath 10.06.2025

Stated that I give up PW Tilak Raj listed at Sr. No.4 of list of witnesses being won-over by accused.

RO&AC

Special Judge, Chamba