IN THE COURT OF CMM, DISTRICT SOUTH, SAKET COURTS, DELHI

Presided by:- Jay Thareja, DJS

CR No.1057/2019

State v M/S Unitech Limited And Ors.

FIR No.619/2016 (Saket)

02.03.2020

Present: None for the State.

Sh. G. S. Sharma, Ld. Advocate for the complainant.

Sh. Nikhil Ahuja, Ld. Advocate for the accused Ajay, Ramesh, Prashant and Sanjay alongwith the accused Ramesh.

Sh. Vishal Gosain, Ld. Advocate for the accused company.

Sh. Ganesh Mishra, Proxy Advocate for the accused Ravinder, Anil,

Sanjay and Minoti.

Sh. Aditya Kapoor, Ld. Advocate for the accused A. S. Johar.

Accused Sanjay and Ajay produced from JC.

The copy of charge-sheet in a CD has been supplied to the Ld. Advocate for the accused A. S. Johar.

The accused Prashant, Ravinder, Anil, Sanjay and Minoti are exempted from appearance, for today only.

The Ld. Advocate for the accused company has submitted that he would file the fresh board resolution qua this case and the other criminal cases pending in this Court, as soon as it is obtained from the newly constituted Board.

In view of law laid down in Court of its Own Motion vs. CBI, 109 (2003) DLT 494 (reiterated in Court of its Own Motion vs. State, 243 (2017) DLT 373 (DB)), the accused Ramesh, Sanjay and Ajay, who have been charge-sheeted without arrest, are admitted to bail, subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/-.

The bail bonds furnished qua accused Ramesh Chandra are accepted as the surety is his daughter in law. The original documents of the surety have been seen and

returned. The Ld. Advocate for the accused has submitted that the surety would furnish a FDR on the next date of hearing.

The Ld. Advocate for the accused Ajay, Ramesh, Sanjay and Prashant has submitted that the CD supplied on the last date is not functioning. Let the IO be summoned, for 16.04.2020.

(JAY THAREJA) CMM/SOUTH/SAKET 02.03.2020