

34

**CT Cases 462904/2016****TRIPTA DEVI Vs. DARSHNA DEVI OTHERS****(Malviya Nagar)****22.02.2024**

Present: Ld. Counsel for the complainant.  
Sh. D.P. Sherawat, Ld. counsel for accused no.1,2,3 and 6  
with accused no.1,2 and 6.  
Accused no.3 permanently exempted through counsel.  
Accused no.4 and 5 not summoned.  
Proceedings against accused no. 7 already abated.  
Sh. Kushbir Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused no.8 along  
with accused no.8.  
Accused no.9 stated to have expired.  
Ld. counsel for accused no.9 and 10 with accused no.10.  
Ms. Anju, Sr. Assistant, Sub Registrar-V, Mehrauli.  
Sh. Sunil Kumar, Sr. Assistant, Central Record Room  
(Revenue), Tis Hazari.  
Sh. Ashwani, JJA, Record Room (Civil) Tis Hazari Court.  
CW Naveen, Delhi Archives in person.

Matter is at the stage of pre charge evidence.

An exemption application has been moved on behalf of  
complainant. As per the reasons stated in the application, exemption is  
allowed for today only.

Summons issued to the witnesses received back as served. They have appeared.

CW5 has been examined. The counsels for the accused persons chose to not cross examine the witness. The witness is discharged. Diet money be paid to him. The document which was sought to be produced by the witness is not traceable. The copy is placed on record. Since CW5 has submitted that the record may have been burned, the ld. counsel for the complainant submits that Ex. CW1/C filed in pre summoning evidence be read as secondary evidence. The counsel for the accused persons are directed to address arguments on this aspect. The admissibility of this document is still pending and shall be decided after conclusion of arguments on this point.

CW6 examined, cross examined and discharged. Diet money be paid to the witness. The counsels for the accused persons are at liberty to address arguments on admissibility of Ex. CW3/J (Colly) and Ex. CW6/A (colly).

CW7 examined, cross examined and discharged. Diet money be paid to the witness.

CW8 partly examined in chief. Further examination is deferred as the witness is questioned why blanks are maintained in a register which is to be maintained chronologically. The witness seeks

adjournment to furnish clarifications after seeking the same from the concerned Sub Registrar.

List the matter for arguments on admissibility of documents filed by the complainant and her witnesses and further pre charge evidence if any on 16.03.2024 at 12 pm.

**(SHILPI SINGH)**  
**MM-02(South)/Saket Courts**  
**New Delhi/22.02.2024**