

CT Cases 1122/2014 119/2022
MS OM INVESTER AND DEVELOPERS Vs. MS ADVANTAGE
ENGINEER DEVELOPERS/0 (Shahdara)

05.07.2025

Proceedings are being conducted through Hybrid mode.

Lawyers are abstaining from work today.

Present : Complainant (through VC)

Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused (through VC)

Matter is fixed for verification of payment.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused submits that he has received a cheque for a sum of Rs.3 lacs from his client and he can deposit the same into the account of the complainant.

At this stage, however, the complainant has submitted that the amount being given by the opposite side is not sufficient and he prays that some additional penalty amount should also be given to them.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused submits that there was no provision for penalty amount in the MOU executed between the parties.

Heard.

Both parties are directed to file their calculation as to the amount that has been received till date in terms of the MOU, on NDOH.

Parties are also directed to physically appear on NDOH.

List on **06.09.2025.**

(Manisha Bhau)
JMFC (NI Act) / Shahdara
KKD / Delhi / 05.07.2025