

CS-613/18

Poonam Vs. Manisha Bansal

19.01.2019

Present: Sh. Narender Safaya, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Pankaj Singh, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Written statement, reply to the application u/O 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC alongwith vakaltanama filed on behalf of defendant on 08.08.2018. Copy already supplied to counsel for plaintiff.

Replication filed on behalf of plaintiff. Copy supplied. Application u/O 38 Rule 1 & 2 CPC filed on behalf of plaintiff on 13.11.2018. Reply of the same filed today on behalf of defendant. Copy supplied.

During arguments on application u/O 39 rule 1 & 2 CPC, counsel for plaintiff submits that he is not pressing application. Hence, application u/O 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC is dismissed as not pressed.

Accordingly, aforesaid application is disposed off.

Arguments heard on application u/O 38 Rule 1 & 2 CPC. Put up for order at 4.00 pm.

(Dr. Hardeep Kaur)
ADJ-02(SHD)/KKD/Delhi
19.01.2019