HDFC BANK LTD. Vs	

Present: Learned counsel for the complainant

Today the case was fixed for service of accused through bailable warrants. Bailable warrants issued against the accused received back unserved. The learned counsel for the complainant orally submitted that the said report on bailable warrants is wrong and the accused is avoiding appearance in the court and has full knowledge about the present case and requested to take/adopt more coercive methods to procure his presence. Although to report on bailable warrants is not proper service but it can be safely presumed that the accused has full knowledge about the present case and intentionally avoiding his presence in the court. This court is satisfied that the service of accused cannot be procured through bailable warrants. As such, in order to avoid any further delay, this court finds it proper to take/adopt coercive methods to procure the presence of the accused. Let accused be summoned through non-bailable warrants for 22.07.2025.

Date of Order: 02.06.2025 Parveen Kumar, Steno G-III

(Sh. Jasbeer Singh) Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh UID No . PB00490