Dated:- 07.10.2024 (Ajay)
Pushpa/Stenographer-III CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD
UID No. PB0629

RO&AC (Ajay)/JMIC/Chd/07.10.2024

w.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.com

w.ecourtsindia.cor

www.ecourtsindia.cc

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.

.ecourtsindia.com

39 Maj. Gen. Savinder Singh Sandhu Vs. Mobera System

Present: Sh. HS Awasti, counsel for DHs.

Ms. Geeta Gulati, counsel for JD.

Case was fixed for filing List of property of JDs. Ld. Counsel for DHs moved an application under Section 151 of CPC for arrest of JD. Let notice to said application be issued to JD for 05.12.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.cc

www.ecourtsindia.co

628 Bank of India Vs. Ombir & ors.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Kumar Bhatia, counsel for plaintiff.

Notice to respondent not issued as correct address of respondent not filed by petitioner.

Petitioner is directed to file correct address of respondent within 7 working days. Thereafter notice to re-

spondent be issued for 13.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD UID No. PB0629

Present:

Sh. Rajesh Kumar Bhatia, counsel for plaintiff.

At this stage, file taken up again on an application to withdraw the present case and request for refund of the amount of court fee of Rs. 10070/- . Ld. Counsel for plaintiff came present and suffered statement before the court that he withdraw the present suit as per instructions of Bank. The court fee be refunded accordingly. Heard. In view of the statement given by Ld. Counsel for present, the present case is hereby dismissed and withdrawn. Court fee is hereby ordered to refunded as per law. File be taken up in Lok Adalat to be held on 14.12.2024.

Reader is directed to make necessary correction in above said date.

Dated: 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

scourtsindia.com

State Vs. Geeta

Present: APP for State.

Accused Geeta on bail with counsel Sh. Kanwar Ashwani Kumar Advocate.

Challan not presented. Same be awaited for 09.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

State Vs. Aftab Alam

PW1 Statement of Sh. Rajesh kumar son of Sh. Umed Singh R/o H. No. 4827, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh. On SA

On 19.04.2023 I was residing at the above said address and on that day I was residing at H. No. 4057, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh on rent. I am tubewell operator. On that day at about 7:30 AM when I was going to towards tubewell, Maloya, Chandigarh. During that when I reached near Bus Stand, Maloya, Chandigarh then I saw that my sister in law namely Monika along with her children was going to drop the children at school on her activa bearing no. CH115(t)2325 reached near Bus stand. Then a car bearing no. CH01-BP-0516 was coming from the side of Chandigarh towards Maloya in a very high speed and driven by his driver in a very rash and negligent manner and without blowing horn hit the scooter due to that Monika along with her children fell down on the road and suffered injuries. At that time, I was came behind on my activa. The said car was driven by the accused Aftab Alam who after the accident who had run away from the spot. Thereafter I took Monika and her children namely Prince and Chahat rushed to Govt. Hospital, Phase 6, Mohali, Chandigarh where doctor referred to Monika to PGI, Chandigarh. Regarding this incident I got my statement Ex. P1/PW2 which bears my signature at point A. I had shown the place of accident to the IO who prepared the RSP of the spot. The scooter of Monika was taken into police possession through seizure memo Ex. P2/ PW2 which bears my signature at point A. During the treatment of the injuries suffered in the accident my sister in Law Monika had died in PGI, Chandigarh and after the postmortem her dead body was received by us and the receipt regarding the same is Ex. P3/PW2 which bears my signature at point A. My statement was recorded by the IO vide Ex. P4/PW2 which bears my signature at point A. the accused was arrested on my identification and his personal search was carried out vide arrest memo Ex. P5/PW2 and personal search memo Ex. P6/PW2 which bears my signature at point A. the offending car bearing no. CH01BP0516 was taken into police possession through seizure memo Ex. P7/PW2. IO also taken into police possession the photocopy of RC and insurance and DL of accused through seizure memo Ex. P8/PW2 which bears my signature at point A. today I have seen the photographs of the above said offending car and defending Jupiter scooter as well as deceased Monika vide Ex. P9/

PW2 to Ex. P15/PW2 respectively and I identify the same. My statements were recorded by the IO.

 $\operatorname{Today} I$ identify the accused present in the court.

XXXXx deferred on request of Ld. Counsel for accused.

RO&AC

(Ajay)/JMIC/Chd/07.10.2024

ww.ecourtsindia.con

w.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.co

.ecourtsindia.com

1099 Munish Kumar & ors. Vs. Rakesh Bansal & ors.

Present: Sh. RK Jain, counsel for plaintiffs.

Notice issued to defendants received back with the report 'left the said place'. Plaintiffs are directed to file correct address of respondent within 7 working days. Thereafter notice to defendants be issued for 14.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

2233 State Vs. Ajit Singh

Present: APP for the State.

Accused Ajit on bail in this case but but custody in another

case represented by Sh. Dikshit Arora Advocate.

Accused Parvesh on bail with counsel Sh. YS Sandhu Advocate.

No PW is present. Bailable warrants issued against complainant received back unexecuted. He is ordered to be served through fresh bailable warrants in the sum of Rs. 5000/- with one surety in like amount for 21.01.2025. Fresh notice to Pws mentioned at Sr. no. 11 and 12 be also issued for the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

ecourtsindia.com

130 Santosh Kumar Rawat Vs. State

Present: None for complainant.

None has appeared on behalf of complainant. As complainant/accused is in custody in the present FIR. Let production warrants of complainant/accused be issued for 06.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

795 Santosh Vs. Prem Chand & ors.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Narang, counsel for plaintiff.

> Sh. Uday Partap Bhaskar, counsel for defendant no. 1. Sh. Rajesh K. Sharma, counsel for defendant no. 2. Sh. Gulshan K. Moudgil, counsel for defendants no. 3 to 7

Written statement as well as reply to stay application on behalf of defendants no. 3 to 7

filed. Same is taken on record.

Now to come upon 28.11.2024 for filing of written statement and reply to stay application on behalf of defendant no. 1 & 2 subject to cost of Rs. 500/- to be deposited in DLSA.

Dated: 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

ecourtsindia.com

79 Paramjit Singh Sandhu Vs Virender Gautam

Present: Sh. Mashwinder Singh, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Kumar Nikshep, counsel for respondent.

Sh. Kumar Nikshep Advocate appeared and filed Power of attorney on behalf of re-

spondent.

Reply not filed. Now to come up on 04.12.2024 for filing reply to application under Or-

der VI rule 17 and Order 22 Rule 3 read with Section 151 of CPC 1908.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

1564 State Vs. Ankit Sigh

APP for State. Present:

Challan presented in absence of accused. Ahlmad is directed to check and report.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

(Ajay) Pushpa/Stenographer-III CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD UID No. PB0629

As per report of Ahlmad challan check and found correct. The case is fixed for 18.11.2024. Accordingly file be taken up date already fixed i.e. 18.11.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 (Ajay)

CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD Pushpa/Stenographer-III UID No. PB0629

242 State Vs. Vandana Sharma

Present: APP for State.

Sh. NK Nanda, counsel for complainant.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come upon 12.12.2024 for consideration on sum-

moning point.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

111 Sushma Devi Vs. Sompal

Present: Sh. Krishan Kanha, counsel for petitioners.

Respondent ex parte.

No PW is present. Now to come up on 03.12.2024 ex parte evidence of petitioner.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

1022 Charan Singh Vs. Seema

Present: Sh. Harinder Singh Thakur, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Deepan Sharma, counsel for defendant.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 10.10.2024 for arguments.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

365 Puja Chopra Vs. Navin Chopra

Present: Ms. Aanchal Thakur, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Pradeep Bedi, counsel for respondent.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 09.10.2024 for final arguments.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

249 Arti vs. Vinod Kumar

Present: Ms. Amarjit Kaur, counsel for complainant.

Sh. Shashank Vardhaan Sharma, counsel for respondent no. 1 & 2.

Respondent no. 3 ex parte.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 07.11.2024 for arguments on the appli-

cation for interim maintenance.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

ww.ecourtsindia.con

w.ecourtsindia.com

.ecourtsindia.com

2331 Manohar Vs. Babita etc.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Kumar Rai, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Harpreet Saini, counsel for defendants no. 5 to 11.

Defendants no. 12 and 13 exparte.

Sh. Dinesh Kumar, counsel for defendants no. 1 to 4.

PW1 Manohar came present for his remaining cross-examination but Ld. Counsel for defendants requested for adjournment. Now to come up on 08.11.2024 for remaining cross-examination of PW1 as well as for remaining plaintiff evidence.

Argument on the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC be also addressed on the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

350 Rashmi Bakshi Vs. Union of India etc.

Present: Sh. Devinder Singh, counsel for the plaintiff.

GP for defendant no.1 to 3.

Defendant no. 4 died.

Defendants No. 5 and 13 exparte.

Sh. Abhijeet Sharma, counsel for defendant no.6.

Sh. Varun Goyal, counsel for defendants no. 8 and 9.

Defendants no.16 to 18 ex parte.

Ms. Pranjal P. Chaudhary, counsel for defendants no. 10, 11 and 12

Suit withdraw against defendant no. 7, 14 and 15.

Reply to application to implead the Lrs of defendant no. 4 not filed. Same be filed on 05.12.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

www.ecourtsindia.

w.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.cor

www.ecourtsindia.cc

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

ww.ecourtsindia.c

sourtsingla.com

1531 Prem Pal Gandhi Vs. Jagdeep Kaur etc.

Present:

Sh. Anuj Raura, counsel for plaintiff.

Defendant no. 1 in person.

Sh. G.S. Sandhu, Counsel for defendant no.2.

Sombir, Clerk on behalf of defendant no.4.

Case was fixed for partly arguments on the application under Section 10 CPC. Defendant no. 1 placed on record written arguments along with rebuttal. Same is taken on record. Defendant no. 1 also moved an application under Order IX rule 2 of CPC read with rule 5 CPC. Reply to said application be filed on 22.11.2024.

Oral arguments be also addressed on the date fixed.

Summons to defendant no. 3 not issued as Embassy fee not deposited by plaintiff. Plaintiff is directed to deposit the same within 7 days. Thereafter notice to defendant no. 3 be issued through Embassy for the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.co

ww.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.

www.ecourtsindia.

ecourtsindia.com

718 Ravi Raj Singh Vs. Pardeep Singh & anr.

Present: Sh. Devinder Singh, counsel for plaintiffs.

Sh. Paras Money Goyal, counsel for defendant no. 1.

Instead of filing reply to application for supplying the documents, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff supplied the documents. Accordingly, the application in hand stands allowed. Now to come up on 05.12.2024 for filing of written statement.

Notice to defendant no. 2 not issued as correct address of defendant no. 2 not filed by plaintiff. Plaintiff is directed to furnish correct address of defendant no. 2 within 5 days of this order, after which fresh notice to defendant no. 2 be issued for the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

117 Verinder Kaur Vs. Manjit Kaur

Sh. Rajesh Sood, counsel for plaintiffs. Present:

 $Sh.\ Karun\ Kumar, counsel\ for\ defendant\ No. 1$

Sh. Paras Money Goyal, counsel for defendants no. 2 to 6.

GP for defendant no. 7

Defendant no. 8 exparte

PW5 Zora Singh is present and examined. Now to come up on 29.10.2024 for remaining plaintiff evidence.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay) CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD

UID No. PB0629

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.

ecourtsindia.com

803 Vikson Securities Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Naveen Kashyap

Present: None for parties.

Case was fixed for plaintiff evidence. Case called up since morning but none appeared on behalf of both the parties. It is 4.20 PM. Waited sufficiently. No further wait is justified. Thus, the present suit is hereby dismissed in default under Order 9 Rule 3 CPC. File be consigned to the record room after due compliance.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

.ecourtsindia.com

355 Sunny Bhatia Vs Swati Malik @ Swati Bhatia

Present: Sh. Vikas Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Counsel for defendant no. 1.

Dalip Kumar on behalf of defendant no.2.

Reply to application under Section 151 for directing the defendant no.1 to pay the rent not filed. Adjournment requested. Heard & Allowed. Now to come up on 09.12.2024 for filing reply to above said application and replication by plaintiff.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

.ecourtsindia.com

382 Priyanka Sharma etc. Versus Arun Kumar

Present: Ms. Kamlesh Malik, Counsel for Complainant

Sh. Shubham Sharma, Counsel for Respondent.

Respondent not submit the salary slip of last 3 months. Respondent is directed to submit the same on 08.11.2024.

Arguments heard partly. Now to come up for remaining arguments on the application for interim application.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

415 Dhanlaxmi Bak Vs. Adhunik Ferti Chem Ltd.

Present: Sh. Sandeep Suri, counsel for DH.

RC of JDs not filed. DH is directed to file the RC of JDs subject to last opportunity.

Thereafter notice to JDs be issued for 22.10.2024 through RC.

Dated: 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

827 VASUDEV SINGH VS INDERDEV SINGH etc.

Present: Sh. Vikas Jain, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Vaneesh Khanna, counsel for defendant No. 1 and 3.

Ms. Preeti Nigam, counsel for defendant No. 2.

Def No. 4,5 ex parte Def No. 6 in person

SH. Ashwani Talwar, counsel for defendant No. 7 Sh. SC Mehta, counsel for defendant No. 8 & 9 Sh. Vansh Malhotra, counsel for defendant No. 10

Name of defendant no. 11 is deleted.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 22.10.2024 for arguments on applica-

tion U/s 151 CPC for grant of permission to lead additional evidence.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

91 Punya Grewal Vs. Rajiv Kalra

Present: Sh. NK Jain, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Anish Babbar, counsel for respondent.

Arguments on an application under Order 11 Rule 14 & 15 CPC r/w Section 151 CPC

not advanced. On request, the case is adjourned to 12.11.2024 for consideration on this application.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

ww.ecourtsindia.co

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

dia.com

.ww.ecourtsindia.con

www.ecourtsindia.co

794 Vishal Monga & ors. Vs. Rekha Baweja & anr.

Present; Sh. Gurpreet Sharma, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Yogesh Mittal, counsel for defendant no. 1 to 3.

Written statement not filed. On request of counsel for defendant no. 1 to 3 case stands adjourned to 22.11.2024 for filing reply/written statement subject to last opportunity.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

260/2023 SURJIT SINGH SANDHU VS VIJAY KUMAR MISHRA etc.

Present: Sh. Parkash Chand, counsel for the Applicant

Cost paid. Receipt has been placed on record.

Warrant of attachment not issued as list of property not filed. List of property be filed within 5 days, after which fresh warrant of attachment be issued for 15.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

State Vs. Pooja Mahajan

Statement of Retd. ASI Virender Singh (recalled for cross-PW11 examination). On SA

XXXXx by Sh. Manjinder Singh Brar, counsel for accused.

I had not made any inquiry from any other inmate confined with accused Pooja Mahajan at the relevant time in burail Jail, Chandigarh to ascertain whether any checking as alleged was conducted or not. I did not inquire from Meena Kumari and Kiran Gupta regarding the presence of any other person at the time when they conducted the alleged search of Pooja Mahajan. It is also in my active knowledge that in a single barrack multiple inmates are present. Even as per the information supplied to me by the jail authorities accused Pooja Mahajan was not in solitary confinement and as such number of other inmates must have been presented at the time of conducting the alleged search. I had not given any notice u/s 91 Cr.P.C for production of CCTV Footage to cross verify the version put before me by the jail authorities. Vol. The jail authorities does not provide such footage due to security reason. I had not recorded the statement of any witness to the fact that what was the movement of accused Pooja Mahajan prior to the alleged recovery. I had not taken on record any warrants received in jail from different courts regarding the movement to secure the presence of accused Pooja Mahajan in the court. I had not made any inquiry as to how as per the version of the jail authorities the mobile phone entered the premises and I had not recorded any statement of any witness in this regard. Vol. It was the duty of lady police official who was the second IO. Only the lady second IO can disclose inquiry or not. It is also correct that Santari duty at the entry post are both male or female officials and there is no discrimination in marking the duty. As a genwww.ecourtsindia.co

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

eral rule I am aware of the fact that when an inmate enters the prison he is thoroughly checked. I do not know that Pooja Mahajan had already filed complaints against the jail authorities and lady warden in jail for harassment and discrimination and unnecessary putting of pressure. I had not conducted any investigation in this regard. I had not conducted any preliminary investigation whatsoever and I believed whatever was told to me by the jail authorities. It is incorrect to suggest that a false case has been plated upon the accused i.e. why no independent witness was joined in the investigation despite easy availability. It is further incorrect to suggest that the false case has been planted upon the accused as the jail authorities were harnessing grudge against Pooja Mahajan due to the complaints filed by her and her bold behavior against discrimination in the jail. It is further incorrect to suggest that I am deposing falsely.

RO&AC

(Ajay)/JMIC/Chd/07.10.2024

136 Shankar Rana Vs. Ajay Kumar etc.

Present: Sh. N.K. Nanda, Counsel for Complainant

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 11.12.2024 for arguments on the sum-

moning point.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

5

www.ecc

www.ecourtsindia.

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.

ecourtsindia.com

32925 State Vs. Ravinder Singh & ors.

Present: Sh.Manjinder Singh, counsel for applicant.

The application for release the jamatalashi article two mobile phone received by me being Illaqa Magistrate. It be registered. Let report of concerned SHO be called for 09.10.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay) CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD

UID No. PB0629

v.ecourtsindia.com

69 BSA Jewels Vs. Shiv Kumar

Present: Sh. Anshul Shahi, Counsel for complainant.

On request of counsel for complainant, case stands adjourned to 04.12.2024 for arguments on summoning point.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

68 Radian Tech Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Sahrika Diagnostic Labs Lvt. Ltd. Etc.

Present; Sh. Jatinder Pal S. Ahluwalia, counsel for appellant.

Translated copy of the report i.e. in Urdu not furnished. Now to come up on 04.12.2024

for furnishing the translated copy of the report.

Dated: 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

.ecourtsindia.com

114 Taranjit Kaur Sandhu Vs. KB Sood Associates

Present: Sh. SK Jain, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Deepak Arora, counsel for respondents.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 15.10.2024 for arguments on the appli-

cation under Order 18A.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

48/2021 VARINDER PAL SINGH VS VINOD KUMAR etc.

Present: Sh. Munish Kumar Advocate for the complainant.

Arguments on summoning point not advanced. Now to come up on 10.12.2024 for consideration on summoning point.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

www.ecourtsindia.co

ц

STILICOA WWW.

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.c

ecourtsindia.com

232 Pooja Bhalla Vs. Amit Bhalla

Present: Sh. Paras Money Goyal, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Ravinder Pandey, counsel for respondent.

Affidavits on behalf of both the parties not filed. Both the parties are directed to disclose the court how many ban accounts they are operating and statements of these accounts be also produced along with their affidavits which would be tendered by them and their affidavits ought to e in the performa prescribed by the Honorable Supreme Court of India in the above noted case of Rajnesh Vs. Neha so that they court may come to the conclusion for the just decision of the case. Adjourned to 16.10.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

Pooja Bhalla Vs. Amit Bhalla 191

Present: Sh. Paras Money Goyal, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Rajinder Pandey, counsel for respondents.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 16.10.2024 for arguments.

(Ajay) Dated:- 07.10.2024

CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD Pushpa/Stenographer-III

UID No. PB0629

ecourtsindia.com

589 Pritam Chand Vs. Joginder

Present: Sh. Gautam Diwan, Counsel for petitioner.

Sh. A.S. Guliani, Counsel for respondent.

PW3 i.e. Additional evidence is present and examined. The evidence of petitioner and

respondent has already been closed. Now to come up on 19.10.2024 for arguments.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

www.ecourtsindia.

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.cc

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.c

ecourtsindia.com

718 Kamal Kumar Vs. Zora Begum & ors.Present: Sh. YS Saini, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. SP Goyal, counsel for defendants no. 1 to 6. Now to come up on 03.12.2024 for filing of written statement.

Case was fixed for filing correct address of defendants no. 7 to 15. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff moved an application under Order 5 Rule 20 CPC read with Section 151 CPC for effecting substituted service of defendants no. 7 to 15. In view of the contents made in the application, the application in hand stands allowed. Let notice to defendants no. 7 to 15 be issued by way of publication in newspaper "The Tribune" for the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

w.ecourtsindia.con

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

mon eibr

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia

www.ecourtsindia

w.ecourtsindia.com

1086 Mohd. Yunus Vs. State

Present; Sh. Dikshit Arora, counsel for applicant.

Application for regular bail along with duly sworn affidavit presented before me. Notice of present application be served upon APP for the State and record of the case be summoned for 08.10.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

1431 State Vs. Sharanjit Singh @ Dabba

APP for State. Present:

Accused on bail.

Accused appeared before the court in pursuance of notice issued to him. He is warned to be careful in future. Let summons to PWs mentioned at Sr. no 1, 2 and 3 be issued for 22.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

ww.ecourtsindia.con

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.cc

864 State Vs. Shivam & ors.

Present: APP for State.

Accused Sumit on bail.

Proceedings qua accused Shivam @ Foda and Raja are dropped

(vide order dated 11.09.2024).

Argument on charge heard. Finding a prima facie case punishable u/s 147, 148, 149, 323, 341 and 506 of IPC charge has been framed against accused, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed trial. Let summons to Pws mentioned at Sr. no. 1 and 5 be issued for 20.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

ww.ecourtsindia.con

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.

www.ecourtsindia.co

3215 State Vs. Sumit

Present: APP for State.

Accused absent.

Inadvertently on the proclamation issued against accused Sumit, the date of appearance of accused was not mentioned. Ahlmad is warned to be careful in future with direction to issue fresh proclamation against accused and mention both the dates. Adjourned to 11.12.2024 for issuing the proclamation. Executing official is directed to appear before the court on that date for making his statement.

Thereafter, the case be listed on 13.01.2025. for the presence of accused.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

w.ecourtsindia.cor

w.ecourtsindia.com

w.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.

www.ecourtsindia.c

.ecourtsindia.com

1172-73 State th. Unknown

Present: APP for State.

Notice issued to complainant received back unserved. Let fresh notice to complainant be issued for 18.11.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

w.ecourtsindia.con

w.ecourtsindia.com

w.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.co

802 State th. Unknown

Present: APP for State.

Notice issued to complainant received back unserved. Let fresh notice to complainant be issued for 19.11.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

w.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.ca

www.ecourtsindia.cc

ww.ecourtsindia.c

.ecourtsindia.com

828 State th. Unknown

Present: APP for State.

Notice issued to complainant received back unserved. Let fresh notice to complainant be issued for 19.11.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

w.ecourtsindia.com

/w.ecourtsindia.con

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.c

ww.ecourtsindia.con

.ecourtsindia.com

807 State th. Unknown

Present: APP for State.

Notice issued to complainant received back unserved. Let fresh notice to complainant be issued for 19.11.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

1591/2018 STATE VS NAVDEEP KOHLI etc.

Present; Ld. APP for State assisted by Sh. Vijay Singla Advocate.

Accused Baljinder Singh, A.S. Bhatia and Sandeep Bhalla on bail with counsel

Sh.Kailash Chander Advocate.

Accused Pardeep Chopra on bail with counsel Sh. Ashok Sharma Advocate. Accused Navdeep Kohli on bail with counsel Sh. Ashok Sharma Advocate.

Sh. Vijay Singla, counsel for complainant P.C. Sanghi. Sh. Vishal Garg Narwana, counsel for witness Aman Mittal.

Exemption application on behalf of the accused AS Bhatia has been filed. Heard. Considering the facts mentioned in the application, same stands allowed for today only with direction to appear before the court on the next date of hearing positively.

PW15 is present and examined. Summons to PW Insp. Devinder in the supplementary challan be issued for 28.10.2024.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up for arguments on the application for taking judicial notice of the threat by accused persons.

Complainant also moved an application under Section 216 Cr.P.C for addition of charge under Section 307 IPC. Reply to said application be filed on the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

ww.ecourtsindia.con

w.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.ca

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia

.ecourtsindia.com

548 State Vs. Kanhiya Kumar Jha etc.Present: APP for State.

Accused in custody (not produced) with counsel Sh. AS Gujral Advocate.

Supplementary challan not filed. Let notice to SHO regarding the same be issued for

21.10.2024.

Accused not produced by Jail Authorities. Let production warrants of accused be issued for the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay) CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD

UID No. PB0629

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.c

ww.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia.cor

ecourtsindia.com

883 State vs. Bablu

Present: APP for State.

Accused Bablu on bail.

PW5 is present and examined in chief. His cross-examination deferred on request of

Ld. Counsel for accused. Witness is bound down for 30.10.2024.

NBW of arrest of PW at Sr. no. 12 received back unexecuted. He is ordered to be served through NBW of arrest for the date fixed through SHO/SSP.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

1747 Ramesh Kanta Versus Gurmail Singh

Present: Sh. Randeep Singh Rana, Counsel for Plaintiff

Sh. Ravi Parkash, counsel for defendant no. 1

Defendant no. 2 exparte.

Cost paid. PW-1 Gurdial Singh is present and examined. Now to come up on 12.12.2024 for remaining plaintiff evidence.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

329 Gurnoor Singh Vs. Satish Gupta

Present: Sh. Rajbir Singh Guron, Counsel for Petitioner

Sh. Yogesh Mittal, Counsel for Respondents no. 1,2 and 5

Respondents no. 3 and 4 exparte

Arguments not addressed. On request, adjourned to 23.10.2024 for arguments on application under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

ww.ecourtsindia.con

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.cor

State Vs. Balbir Singh etc.Present: APP for the State.

Sh. B.B. Bagga, Counsel for accused Balbir Singh. Accused Rajat Ahluwalia died (proceedings abated)

Exemption application on behalf of the accused Balbir Singh has been filed. Heard. Considering the facts mentioned in the application, same stands allowed for today only.

PW5 is present and examined. Summon issued to PW at Sr. no. 5 and 13 received back served. They have not come present despite service. They are ordered to be served bailable warrants of arrest in the sum of Rs. 5000/- with one surety each in like amount for 13.12.2024.

Notice to PWs mentioned at Sr. no. 11 and 12 be issued for the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

795 State Vs. Deepak Kumar

Present: APP for State.

Accused Deepak kumar absent.

Accused Deepak Kumar has not come present before the court.

He has absented himself without any intimation to the court. Let notice to accused Deepak kumar be issued for 16.12.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

61 State Vs. Pooja Mahajan

Present: APP for State.

Accused in custody(produced) represented by Sh. Terminder Singh.

Accused Pooja Mahajan produced by Jail authority in court. She be again produced on 10.10.2024.

PW11 is present and examined. Ld. PP for the state vide separate statement giveup SI Jaspal Singh and Insp. Jasbir Singh being unnecessary witnesses and closed the prosecution evidence. Now to come for recording the statement of accused u/s 313 Cr.P.C on the date fixed.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.

www.ecourtsindia.c

.ecourtsindia.com

State Vs. aftab Alam

Present: APP for State.

Accused Aftab Alam on bail represented by Sh. Rajan

Gandhi Advocate.

PW1 is present and examined. PW2 is present and examined in chief. His cross-examination deferred on request of Ld. Counsel for accused. Witness is bound down for 23.01.2025. Let summons to PWs mentioned at Sr. no. 10, 11 and 12 be issued for the date fixed.

Dated: 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

45 Dilbag Rai Sharma Vs. Narain Singh Dogra

Present: Sh. Ambrish Sharma, counsel for petitioner.

Sh. SK Khurcha, counsel for respondent.

Arguments not advanced. Calculation of due rent has been placed on record by Ld.

Counsels for both the parties.

Now to come upon 05.11.2024 for arguments on provisional assessment of rent.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

www.ecourtsindia.con

www.ecourtsindia.cor

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.cc

www.ecourtsindia.c

www.ecourtsindia

ecourtsindia.com

10232 Shiv Chander Vs. Estate Office

Present: Sh. Rajan Lohan, cousnel for plaintiff.

GP for defendant no .1.

Sh. S.P. Goyal, counsel for defendant no. 2.

Sh. Vinod Kumar Choda, counsel for defendant no. 3. Sh. Yogesh Mittal, counsel for defendants no. 4 to 7.

Case was fixed for remaining defendant evidence. Ltd. Counsel for defendants no. 4 to 7 moved an application for granting permission to engaging the and writing expert Sh. Davendera Prasad for clicking the photographs of of the original record and production of original alleged will. Instead of filing reply to said application, Ltd. Counsel for plaintiff suffered statement that original will is already on record and he has no objections if experts takes the photographs for comparison of the signature of testator subject to all just exceptions. Heard. In view of the statement given by Ltd. Counsel for plaintiff, the present application stands allowed and disposed off. Now to come up on 23.10.2024 for remaining defendant evidence. Ahlmad is directed to issue summons to witnesses. Dasti summons be also given.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

193 Chatar Bhuj & Anr. Vs Ishan Bhutani

Ms. Mamta B. Jain, Counsel for petitioners. Present:

Sh. Kailash Chander, counsel for respondent (since ex parte).

Case was fixed for ex parte evidence of petitioners. Sh. Kailash Chander Advocate appeared and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent and moved an application for setting aside order of ex parte order dated 01.03.2024. Instead of filing reply to application for setting aside the ex parte proceedings against respondent Ld. Counsel for petitioners gave no objection on the said application subject to cost. In view of the contents made in the application and no objection given by Ld. Counsel for petitioners, the application in hand stands allowed subject to cost of Rs.1000/- to be deposited in DLSA. Ex parte proceedings against respondent is set aside. Now to come up on 25.11.2024 for filing of written statement/reply.

Dated: 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

317 Jaspinder Pal Singh Vs. Rajinder Singh

Present: Sh. SM Wadhera, counsel for applicant.

Sh. S.K. Chhabra, Counsel for respondent no.1, 7 to 11.

Sh. Raghav Kapoor, counsel for respondent-Ajit Singh

Case was fixed for argument. Ld. Counsel for applicant moved an application under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 Cr.P.C for praying for impleadment of S. Ajit Singh as defendant. Copy supplied. Reply to said application be filed on 05.11.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

1174 Raminder Singh Vs Gurjit Kaur

Present: Sh. Rajesh khurana, counsel for Plaintiff

Sh. S.K. Jain, counsel for defendant no. 1 to 3 (defendant no.2 exparte)

GP for defendant no.4

Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Counsel for defendant no. 5.

Sh. Vishal Kathuria, counsel for defendant no. 6.

Defendant no. 7 exparte.

Case was fixed for arguments on application under Section 151 CPC, application for recalling the order and striking off the defence. Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 08.11.2024 for addressing arguments on the said application.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

Mool Chand Vs. Mukesh Sharma

Present: Sh. Pardeep Kumar, Counsel for Petitioner

Sh. G.S. Bhullar, Counsel for Respondent

Witness i.e. concerned official/ IO PS 31, Chandigarh i.e. C. Manjit came present before the court but not brought the record. Witness is bound down for 09.12.2024 with direction to appear before the court along with relevant record as well as remaining petitioner evidence.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

677 State Vs. Vijay Pal

Present: APP for Stat.e

Accused on bail with counsel Ms. Rajni Bala Advocate. Sh. Anup Singh Saini, counsel for complainant Sarbati.

No DW is present. Now to come up on 09.10.2024 for defence evidence if any other-

wise for arguments.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

363 Ghura Prasad Vs. Umesh

Present: Sh. Naresh kumar, counsel for petitioner.

Ms. Leena Bhardwaj, counsel for respondents.

Written statement filed. Now to come up on 18.11.2024 for filing replication.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

State Vs. Ravinder singh & ors.

State Vs. Babloo

PW5 Statement of Insp. Ashok kumar no. 692, SHO Crime Branch, Sector 11, Chandigarh, ON SA

On 09.10.2017 I was posted as SI at PS Maloya, Chandigarh. On that day I along with Sr. C. Rajesh Kumar, C. Varinder and vol. C. Major Singh were on patrolling duty. During that when we reached near Fish Market, DMC, Chandigarh, then we parked the vehicles there and started patrolling on foot at about 8:50 PM when we reached near Trikona Park. One person was seen coming from the side of Dhanas carrying one polythene bag in his right hand and on seeing the police party, he turned back and started moving swiftly. On suspicion he was apprehended. On asking he disclosed his name as Bablou son of Parkash. On checking Ganja type product was found from the polythene. The weighing machine was called at the spot. After weighing the ganja was found to be of one kg. I tried to join the independent witness but no one was ready to join the investigation and left the spot after giving reasonable excuses. After that the recovered contraband was sealed in a white cloth parcel which was sealed with the seal of VN at two places. After the use of the seal the same was handed over to senior constable Rajesh Kumar. I took the possession of the contraband vide memo already Ex. PW1/1. I prepared the test memo form which is Ex. PW1/2. The accused unable to shown any license or permit regarding the contraband. The ruqa was prepared by me which is already Ex. PW1/3 and same was sent through C. Varinder Sing for the registration of FIR and information was also given to MHC PS Maloya, Chandigarh for sending the second IO and second IO SI Dalip Singh reached at the spot. I handed over the recovered ganja, accused

as well as documents to the second IO through handing over memo Ex. P1/ PW5. After the registration of FIR C. Varinder returned back at the spot and handed over the ruqa and copy of FIR to SI Dalip Kumar. The accused was arrested by second IO and his personal search was carried out. Second IO prepared the RSP of the spot on my instance. The accused along with case property were produced before the insp. Insp. Ram Rattan, the then SHO PS Maloya, Chandigarh who verified the facts from us as well as accused person. After verification of the facts SHO put his seal of S at one place on the above said parcel as well as on sample seal also attested the same. Thereafter the case property was deposited into malkhana PS Maloya Chandigarh and the accused was put behind the bars. My statement was recorded by the IO. Today, I identify the accused present in the court.

XXXXXX deferred on request of defence counsel.

RO&AC

(Ajay)/JMIC/Chd/07.10.2024

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

ww.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia

www.ecourtsindia.

www.ecourtsindia

w.ecourtsindia.com

1507 M/s ACL Wine pvt. Ltd. Vs. The State Department

Present; Sh. Vikram Jain, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Rishi Pal ETO on behalf of defendant.

Sh. Rishi Pal ETO, Department of Excise and Taxation Department, Sector 17, Chandigarh has placed on record the letter regarding release of stock vide which the stock has been ordered to be released with certain conditions which are to be complied with by the plaintiff. In view of such circumstances, case stands adjourned to 24.10.2024 for awaiting report of compliance by the plaintiff.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

1918 Raja Ram Vs. Ved Parkash etc.

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar Saroj, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Randeep Singh Rana, counsel for defendant no. 1.

Notice to defendant no. 2 not issued as correct address of defendant no. 2 not filed by plaintiff. Plaintiff is directed to file correct address of defendant no. 2 within 7 working days subject to last opportunity. Thereafter notice to defendant no. 2 be issued for 16.01.2025.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

302/2023 JASBIR SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB etc.

Present: Sh. Vishal Gupta, Advocate for plaintiff.

Ms. Priyanak Sharma, GP for defendants.

Reply not filed. Now to come upon 02.12.2024 for filing reply to application under Or-

der 22 (2) CPC for bringing on record the legal heirs of DH.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

121 VIKRAM SANDHU VS KAMALJIT SINGH BALA etc.

Present: Sh. R.k. Vashishat advocate for the DH.

Sh. Atul kumar Saini, counsel for objector Sneemar kaur Bala and Neha Bala

Reply to application u/s 151 of CPC for placing on record documents not filed. Now to come up on 03.12.2024 for filing reply to said application.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

198 Mohan Babbar vs. Sham Lal

Present: Sh. Rajesh Kumar Rai, counsel for the petitioner

Sh. Jatin Khullar, counsel for the respondent

On request of counsel for both the parties, case stands adjourned to 28.10.2024 for arguments on application Under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC seeking amendment of written statement.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

525 SHIV MANAS MANDIR VS B.K KAPOOR etc.

Present: Sh. Anil Aggarwal Advocate for the plaintiff

Sh. Paras Money Goyal, Advocate for the defendant.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come upon 10.10.2024 for arguments.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

1528 Bhagwan Dass etc. Vs. Ram Parkash & Anr.

Present: Sh. Manoj Dhiman, counsel for the plaintiffs.

Sh. Pardeep Sharma, counsel for the defendants.

No rebuttal evidence is present. Now to come up on 14.10.2024 for rebuttal evidence if any otherwise for arguments.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

30 Anjuman Vs. Taj Mohommad

Present: Sh. Sumant Sharma, Counsel for applicant.

Sh. Munish Kumar, Counsel for applicant/respondent (respondent exparte)

No AW is present. Now to come up on 11.11.2024 for cross-examination of PW-1 sub-

ject to last opportunity and remaining applicant evidence subject to last opportunity.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

2707 Shakuntla Vs. Om Parkash

Present: Ms. Sunita Devi, counsel for applicant/DH.

Sh. Ashish K. Gupta, counsel for JD

Reply to objections not filed. Now to come up on 26.11.2024 for filing reply to

objections.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

238 Sunita Devi Vs. Mahabir

Present: Ms. Tania Mahajan, counsel for petitioner.

Notice issued to respondent not received back. Let fresh notice to respondent be issued

for 12.12.2024 through RC/AD.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

State Vs. Balbir & ors.

PW5 Statement of Retd. Insp. Prem Singh son of Late Sh. Pyara Singh R/o H. No. 447, LB Encalve, Sector 49A, Chandigarh. On SA

On 27.11.2017 I was posted as SI and Incharge PP ISBT Sector 17, Chandigarh. On that day, the investigation of the present case was entrusted to me and as the investigation of the present case has already been completed, so the present challan against accused Rajat Ahluwalia and Balbir Singh was prepared by Insp. Maninder Singh the then SHO PS 17, Chandigarh whose signature I identify on the same.

XXXX by Sh. Vivek Varun, counsel for accused.

Q. Did you ever investigated the matter.

Ans. No.

RO&AC

(Ajay)/JMIC/Chd/07.10.2024

w.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

83 Bhawna Vs Suraj

Present: Sh. Himanshu Jain, Legal Aid Counsel for complainant.

Summons to respondent not issued as correct address of respondent not furnished by complainant. Complainant is directed to furnish correct address of respondent within 5 days of this order subject to cost of Rs. 500/- to be deposited in DLSA, after which fresh summons to respondent be issued for 17.12.2024.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

320 IDBI Bank Ltd. Vs. Jatinder Singh

Present: Sh. RS Badhran, counsel for plaintiff.

Defendant ex parte.

No PW is present. Now to come up on 12.12.2024 for ex parte evidence of plaintiff.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III (Ajay)

CJJD/JMIC/RC/CHD

261 Harmesh Goyal Vs Chandigarh Housing Board

Present: Sh. Rajinder Ghai, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Vikas Jain, counsel for defendant no.1.

Defendant no.2 exparte.

Replication not filed. Inadvertently case was fixed for consideration on the stay application instead of filing reply to stay application. The same mistake stands rectified to said extent. Now to come up on 26.11.2024 for filing replication as well as reply to stay application.

Dated:- 07.10.2024 Pushpa/Stenographer-III

1488 SRG Infotech Vs. Punjab Information & Communication Tech. Ltd.

Present: Sh. Devinder Singh, counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Ajay Pal Singh, counsel for defendant no. 1. Sh. Vaneesh Khanna, counsel for defendant no. 2.

Arguments on application under Order 12 Rule 1 CPC not addressed. Adjournment requested. Heard and allowed. Now to come up on 11.11.2024 for arguments on above said application.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

937 Dinesh Kumar Vs. The Additional Commissioner

Present: Sh. Raj Kishore, counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Deepak Malhotra and SH. Shubham Jain, counsel for defendant no. $1.\,$

Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, counsel for defendant no. 2.

Arguments not advanced. Now to come up on 04.12.2024 for consideration on stay application.

Dated:- 07.10.2024

Pushpa/Stenographer-III

(Ajay)

ww.ecourtsindia.cor

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ecourtsindia.com

State Vs. Navdeep Kohli & ors.

PW15 Statement of Insp. Ashwani Kumar No. 345, Security Wing, Sector 29, Chandigarh.
On SA

In the year 2017 I was posted at PS 19, Chandigarh. During that period the present FIR no. 205/17 PS 19 was registered by SI Gurwinder Singh and the investigation of the present case was carried out by ASI Swaran Singh who collected the relevant documents and recorded the statement of witnesses u/s 161 Cr.P.C. Thereafter, the challan against the accused persons without arrest was prepared by me and presented before the Hon'ble court.

XXXX by Sh. Kailash Chander, Sh. Siddharath Sanwaria & Sh. Ashok Sharma, counsel for accused persons.

I have not investigated the case in hand at any point of time. I have never issued any instructions to the concerned IO either. No statement was recorded by ASI Swaran Singh in my presence similarly no document was seized by him in my presence. I never visited the alleged spot of occurrence. It is wrong to suggest that no proper investigation was conducted by concerned IO.

RO&AC

(Ajay)/JMIC/Chd/07.10.2024